

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE , DINDIGUL.

**PRESENT: Thiru.V.Padmanabhan, M.A., M.L., D.H.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Dindigul.**

Monday the 08th day of June 2026.

CrI.M.P. No.1206/2026
CNR No.TNDG01-002883-2026

1.Muthukrishnan, 30/2026
S/o.Veerasamy
2. Gowtham Kumar, 23/2026
S/o.Balasubramani

.. Petitioners/A1 and A2

/vs/

State through
The Inspector of Police, Vadamadurai PS.
Cr. No.298/2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 05.06.2026 through e-filed and U/s. 483 of BNSS seeks custody bail to the petitioners/accused.

This petition came before this Court for final hearing today in the presence of Thiru.R.Ramesh Prabhu, the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.P.Mahendran, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent and heard either side and on perusing all the records, this Court delivered the following.....

ORDER

1. The petition is filed by the petitioners/accused (A1 and A2) U/s 483 of BNSS Act,2023 seeks bail for the alleged offence U/s 303(2), 296(b), 126(2), 132 of BNS Act,2023, U/s 21(1) and 21(4) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act,1957.

2. The prosecution case is that on 29.05.2026 at 6.00 A.M. at Karivadansettipatti, the police party seized the heavy vehicles used by the accused for illegal sand mining bearing registration number TN 57 CD 2670 and TN 57 CX 6270 with sand and therefore case registered against the petitioners/accused for the offence U/s 21(1) and 21(4) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act,1957.

3. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner/accused would submit that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 29.05.2026, the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution, false case has been foisted against the petitioner/accused; investigation is completed, if the petitioners/accused are released on

.2.

bail they will obey the conditions to be imposed by this court, he will neither abscond nor tamper with the prosecution witness and prays to enlarge the petitioner/accused on bail.

4. Per contra, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that the investigation is still pending; no previous case is pending against the petitioners/accused, if the petitioners/accused are released on bail, they would tamper the prosecution witness and abscond to some other places and strongly objected to release the petitioner/accused on bail.

5. Heard both sides. Records perused. The petitioner is in judicial custody from 29.05.2026. On perusal of records, it is seen that at the time of alleged incident, the petitioner has transported 1 unit of gravel sand in a lorry. The properties were secured. Further, the defacto-complainant and other witnesses are Govt.officials, there is no possibility for tampering the witnesses. It is fairly conceded on prosecution that no any previous case is reported against the petitioner.

In the above circumstances, considering the remand period, period of judicial custody already undergone by the petitioner and also in the interest of justice, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners/accused with following stringent conditions:

(I) the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.5,000/-(Rupees Five Thousand only) before the District Mineral Foundation Trust, Dindigul District as Non-refundable Deposit and on production of proof for payment of such deposit only, the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail and the learned Addl.District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Vendasandur shall accept the sureties furnished by the petitioner;

(I) the petitioner/accused is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand)** with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Addl.District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Vendasandur;**

(I) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

1. the petitioner/accused shall appear and sign before the **respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m for a period of 3 weeks.**

.3.

2. the petitioner/accused shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.
3. the petitioner/accused shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.
4. On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].
5. If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/S.269 of BNS.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 08th day of June 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Dindigul.**

- This order is electronically generated and issued with Digital Signature.
- This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ [https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number](https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case-status/case-number)” (or) use “scan QR code”
- * Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “e-Courts Services” from Android or iOS

Copy to

The Additional District Cum Judicial Magistrate, Vedsanthur.

The Public Prosecutor, Dindigul.

The Inspector of Police, Vadamadurai PS.

Thiru.R.Ramesh Prabhu, learned Counsel for the
petitioners.

} They are requested to download this order
from the above said official web site link.