

IN THE COURT OF THE XIX METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE COURT,
EGMORE @ ALLIKULAM, CHENNAI – 03

PRESENT: Tmt. P. Magalakshmi, M.L.,
XIX Metropolitan Magistrate,

Today 10th day of July 2026

STC. No. 211/2022

CNR NO.TNCH15 – 004392 - 2024

M/s. Pon Pure Chemicals India
Private Limited,
Rep by Mr. L. Manikandan
Chief Manager – Legal, No. 32, H-Block,
15th Main Road, Anna Nagar,
Chennai -600 040.

..... Complainant

//Vs//

1. Win Hide Private Limited,
Represented by its Director,
Mr. Venkatasamy Selvakumar,
No. 8B/1, Old Thiruthani Rd,
Ranipet Taluk, Walajapet, Tamilnadu-632401.

2. Varadarajulu Jeyalakshmi,
Director, Win Hide Private Limited,
No. 8B/1, Old Thiruthani Rd,
Ranipet Taluk, Walajapet, Tamilnadu-632401.

..... Accused

This case came up before me for final hearing on 10.07.2026, after the perusal of available documents and having stood over till this day for consideration, this Court delivered the following :

ORDER

Complainant absent. No representation at the time of calling. Process memo not filed. After pass over till 04.30 PM. Complainant absent and there is no representation. And no Process memo was filed . Records shows that on 01.02.2022 this case was taken

on file by FTC-I Egmore @ Allikulam and on 24.06.2024, this case was transferred to this court at the stage of summons to accused and on 12.02.2024, BW was issued against the accused due to non appearance of accused as private notice served. After which on 26.05.2025 Bailable warrant was issued status of which was not known and so to progress the case on 10.02.2026 fresh process was ordered to be paid in order to address concerned station for execution. But on 06.03.2026 and on 25.03.2026 time was sought that substitution petition is to be filed. And finally on 09.04.2026 substitution petition filed by complainant in CrI. MP. No. 322/2026 was allowed and from 05.05.2026 the case is adjourned to file amended complaint copy and fresh process for Bailable warrant. But from 05.05.2026 complainant was absent and neither the amended complaint copy nor fresh process was filed by the complainant herein and on 17.06.2026 this case was conditionally adjourned to today. Inspite today also complainant did not turn up. And paid the necessary process. Being a complainant in a private complaint should have vigilantly proceed the case either through himself or through his counsel without fail, but the complainant has not shown any keen interest in further proceeding of the case. Further, it has been held in M/s Ultimate Computer Care Rep by its proprietor Apibo Vs M/s. S.M.K Systems, Authorized signatory, R. Saravana Kumar by Honourble High Court of Madras that if steps not taken for process, the complaint shall be dismissed. Sufficient time has been given by this court. The case is already of more than 3 years old. Hence, for stated reasons, this complaint is dismissed for non payment of process u/s.204 (4) of Cr. P.C and for non prosecution and the accused is hereby discharged.

Typed by me directly in Desktop, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court this the 10th day of July 2026

XIX Metropolitan Magistrate
Egmore @ Allikulam, Chennai-3.