

19 வது பெருநகர குற்றவியல் நீதிமன்றம்,
எழும்பூர் @ அல்லிக்குளம், சென்னை - 3.

தேதி 04.06.2026

பட்டியல் வழக்கு எண்	-	3424/2018
வாதி/எதிரி/நீதிமன்ற தரப்பு	-	எ.த.சா. 2
பெயர்	-	Dr. K. Nithiyanandan Nair
தந்தை பெயர்	-	Karunakaran Nair
வயது	-	77

1873-ம் வருடத்திய இந்திய சாட்சிய சட்டம் 10-வது வகையங்கன்படி இன்று 04.06.2026 நான் திரு. மணிகண்டன் தட்டச்சர் அவர்களால் சத்தியபிரமாணம் செய்விக்கப்பட்டு உறுதிமொழி கூறப்பட்டு சாட்சியம் அளிக்கப்படுகிறது. சத்தியபிரமாணம் செய்விக்கப்பட்டது.

குறுக்கு விசாரணை (புகார்தாரர் மனுவின் பெயரில் ஆஜரில்லை. இருதரப்பு வழக்கறிஞர் ஆஜர்)

Ques : Can you tell when the complaint was filed against the company and other persons ?

Ans : I don't remember the date now. I have already given the ROC Certificate.

Ques : Whether the signature found in Exp14 acknowledgement card is mine ?

Ans : Yes. After that witness replied that I was not in the company at that time and it does not looks like my signature.

Ques : Are you aware of the statutory notice received by the company ?

Ans : I am fully aware.

Ques : Have you individually replied to the statutory notice sent by the company ?

Ans : No.

Ques : At the time of filing of complaint what was my designation in the company ?

Ans : I was not there in the company at that time.

Ques : What was my designation in the accused company ?

Ans : Earlier I was a Director and all the directors are employees only. In a public limited company how the employees could be questioned.

Ques : Whether is my name found in ExD5 shown to me ?

Ans : Yes.

Ques : Whether in ExD5 it is stated that appointment and change of directors ?

Ans : Yes. But there was lot of changes. But it is mentioned in ExD5 that Manito Electronics Limited and this document is pertaining to Manito Electronics Limited and not Private limited. This is a public limited. After conversion into public limited lot of changes happened.

Ques : What is the date mentioned straight to my name in ExD5 ?

Ans : The witness by seeing document replied as 23.11.2000.

Ques : Who has issued ExD7 experience certificate to me ?

Ans : Manito Electronics Limited only which is public limited company.

Ques : What is the Period of service mentioned in ExD7 ?

Ans : 1987 to 1997

Ques : Whereas in ExD5 shows that I was removed from directorship only on 23.11.2000 ?

Ans : No. It is not relieving. In 1997 I was removed.

Ques : If I say that I came to the company by 23.11.2000 have you produced Board Resolution, Letter of appointment to show that I have once again come on 23.11.2000 to the company as a director ?

Ans : Not produced then witness replied I don't remember.

Ques : What is the drawers name mentioned in Exp3 cheque ?

Ans : This cheque is issued by Manito Electronics Private Limited and in 2000 there is no such company. On 15.02.2000 there is no private limited company. Actually it is misuse only. This is not a fair cheque, because at that time the company was public limited company.

Ques : Have you taken any legal steps to state that first accused company have not issued the Exp3 cheque ?

Ans : The company has taken steps. I was not the Managing Director at that time and I was not the appropriate person at that time for taking action.

Ques : Whether any document filed to show that steps taken by the company ?

Ans : The company informed that they have filed documents. I have not filed said documents. The company also informed that no cheque was issued for said amount.

Ques : What is the company name shown as in Exp16 ?

Ans : This is not correct. That cannot be taken. It is mentioned that Manito Electronics Private Limited but already ROC was produced that the company was converted into public limited company.

Ques : Whether I am aware of the order of Hon'ble Supreme Court in Crl. A. No. 1846-1847 of 2008 shown to me ?

Ans : Yes. Webcopy of order of Hon'ble Supreme Court in Crl. A. No. 1846-1847 of 2008 is **marked as Exp17**. In that order my name is not mentioned which means I was not in the company.

Ques : I put it to you Hon'ble Supreme Court in ExP17 have observed I was involved in day to day affairs of Manito Electronics Private Limited ?

Ans : Although Hon'ble Supreme Court mentioned in general I was not in the company at that time and I was relieved from the company for undergoing Research. In this respect and matter of justice I have approached seperately Hon'ble High Court of Madras and I was relieved.

Ques : I put it to you that you were the director of 1st accused company at the relevant time when the cheque was issued and so I am liable to be prosecuted ?

Ans : My documents says that I was not in the company at the time of issuance of cheque. And the company was not the private limited company at that time to issue the cheque like this.

மறு விசாரணை இல்லை.

திறந்த நீதிமன்றத்தில் என்னால்
பதிவு செய்யப்பட்டு எதிரி
முன்னிலையில் சாட்சிக்கு
படித்து காட்டி சரி என்று
அவரால் ஒப்புக்கொள்ளப்பட்டது,

Sd/- Mrs. P. Magalakshmi, M.L.,

XIX Metropolitan Magistrate,
Egmore @ Allikulam, Chennai-03.