

IN THE COURT OF THE XIX METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE COURT,
EGMORE @ ALLIKULAM, CHENNAI – 03

PRESENT: Tmt. P. Magalakshmi, M.L.,
XIX Metropolitan Magistrate,

Today 18th day of June 2026

STC. No. 2994/2021

CNR NO.TNCH15 – 001036 - 2023

M/s. Equitas Small Finance Bank Limited,
(formerly known as M/s. Equitas Finance Limited),
F-39, Spencer Plaza, 4th Floor,
Phase – II, No. 769, Anna Salai,
Chennai-600 002.
Rep by its Legal Officer,
Mr. D. Arjun.

..... Complainant

//Vs//

Mr. Jaferali M,
1/117A N No. 1/133 Puthupettai,
Athiyur Kunnam, Perambalur,
Tamil Nadu – 621108.

..... Accused

This case came up before me for final hearing on 18.06.2026, after the perusal of available documents and having stood over till this day for consideration, this Court delivered the following :

ORDER

Complainant absent. Complainant counsel present. Petition u/s. 256 of Crpc was filed and allowed. But Process memo not filed. However as the case was adjourned today conditionally, the case was passed over for payment of process directing to pay process. But After pass over till 04.30 PM. Complainant absent and there is no representation. And no Process memo for execution of Bailable warrant was filed . Records shows that on 19.11.2021 this case was taken on file by FTC-I Egmore at Allikulam and on 16.05.2023, this case was transferred to this court at the stage of

summons to accused and on 20.03.2024, B/W was issued against the accused due to non appearance of accused as summons returned as unclaimed. After which on 08.12.2025 B/w was issued status of which was not known and so to progress the case fresh process was ordered to be paid in order to address concerned station for execution on 27.03.2026. But necessary process was not paid. Hence, case was adjourned conditionally from 04.05.2026. Inspite there is no progress and then complainant also did not turn up. Being a complainant in a private complaint should have vigilantly proceed the case either through himself or through his counsel without fail, but the complainant has not shown any keen interest in further proceeding of the case. Further, it has been held in M/s Ultimate Computer Care Rep by its proprietor Apibo Vs M/s. S.M.K Systems, Authorized signatory, R. Saravana Kumar by Honorable High Court of Madras that if steps not taken for process, the complaint shall be dismissed. Sufficient time has been given by this court. The case is already of more than 7 years old.

Hence, for stated reasons, this complaint is dismissed for non payment of process u/s.204 (4) of Cr. P.C and the accused is hereby discharged.

Typed by me directly in Desktop, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court this the 18th day of June 2026

XIX Metropolitan Magistrate
Egmore @ Allikulam, Chennai-3.