

IN THE COURT OF THE XIX METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE COURT,
EGMORE @ ALLIKULAM, CHENNAI – 03

PRESENT: Tmt. P. Magalakshmi, M.L.,
XIX Metropolitan Magistrate,

Today 15th day of July 2026

CC. No. 6096/2017

CNR NO.TNCH15 – 000318 - 2017

M/s. Arjun Amaravathi chits (p) Ltd.,
Rep by Executive Assistant and
Authorized Agent, Mr. D. Lakhsmanan,
S/o. N.J. Durairaj, No. 30, (Old No. 190),
Kutchery Road, Mylapore,
Chennai-600 004.

..... Complainant

//Vs//

Mr. A. Ramamoorthy.
No. 12/20, Raja Street,
Perambur, Chennai – 600011.

..... Accused

This case came up before me for final hearing on 15.07.2026, after the perusal of available documents and having stood over till this day for consideration, this Court delivered the following :

ORDER

Complainant present. Complainant counsel present. Process memo not filed. But however for an opportunity passed over for payment of necessary process. After pass over till 04.30 PM. Complainant absent and there is no representation. And no Process memo for fresh Bailable warrant was filed. Records shows that on 08.08.2017 this case was taken on file by II Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Egmore, Chennai and on 22.01.2018 this case was transferred to this court at the stage of summons to accused and on 30.05.2018 B/W was issued against accused due to his absence as summons returned as refused and on 08.03.2024, B/W was issued, status

of which warrant were not known. And so on 14.05.2026, again B/W was sent which was returned on 20.05.2026 with report that accused not residing in mentioned address. And so from 22.05.2026 the case is adjourned conditionally to pay necessary process for fresh bailable warrant. But the same was not paid And the case was conditionally adjourned that if process not filed, the complaint shall be dismissed. In spite process was not paid. Being a complainant in a private complaint should have vigilantly proceed the case either through himself or through his counsel without fail, but the complainant has not shown any keen interest in further proceeding of the case. Further, it has been held in M/s Ultimate Computer Care Rep by its proprietor Apibo Vs M/s. S.M.K Systems, Authorized signatory, R. Saravana Kumar by Honorable High Court of Madras that if steps not taken for process, the complaint shall be dismissed. Sufficient time has been given by this court. The case is already of more than 7 years old.

Hence, for stated reasons, this complaint is dismissed for non payment of process u/s.204 (4) of Cr. P.C and for non prosecution and the accused is hereby acquitted.

Typed by me directly in Desktop, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court this the 15th day of July 2026

XIX Metropolitan Magistrate
Egmore @ Allikulam, Chennai-3.