

APEG060004602026



**IN THE COURT OF THE III ADDITIONAL CIVIL JUDGE (SENIOR DIVISION)
:: KAKINADA**

Present : Sri K.Mothilal

III Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Kakinada.

Monday, this the 20th day of July, 2026

H.M.O.P No. 244 /2026

1.	Sunderaneedi Navyatha @ Koppa Navyatha, W/o. Sunderaneedi Rana Sai Pratap, Advocate, aged 28 years, D/o. Koppa Venkata Surya Rao, D.No.14- 238/12, Balaji Gardens, Gopalapatnam, Visakhapatnam.	
2.	Sunderaneedi Rana Sai Pratap, S/o. S.V.V.Raghavulu, Aged 32 years, employee in LIC of India, D.No.1-5- 428/1, New Marthi Nagar, Hyderabad. Rangareddy District.	...Petitioners
Vs		
	NIL	...Respondent

This petition coming on 03.07.2026 before me for hearing in the presence of **Sri. Dudala Lakshmana Rao**, Advocate for the petitioners and the matter having heard and stood over for consideration till this day, this court made the following

ORDER:

1. This is a petition filed by the petitioners under Sec.13-B of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, for dissolution of their marriage dated 09.03.2023 by way of granting of divorce on mutual consent.

2. The brief averments of the petition are that the marriage of petitioners was solemnized on 09.03.2023 at Lakshmi Parinaya Function Hall, ADB Road, Kakinada according to Hindu rites and rituals. Soon thereafter, the marriage was consummated. The 1st petitioner joined with the 2nd petitioner at Pune where the 2nd petitioner is doing job and lived happily for a some period only. No children born to them during their wedlock. Thereafter, there arose between them disputes, which made them to live separately since 20.01.2024. The petitioners placed the matter before elders for reunion but of no avail. As there are no chances of reunion, both of them have reached a settlement with the intervention of elders to have a decree of divorce by mutual consent and in pursuance of their settlement, the 1st petitioner has agreed to retain the bracelet with 2nd petitioner weighing about 2 sovereigns of gold so also 2nd petitioner agreed to retain the gold jewellery with the 1st petitioner weighing about 20 sovereigns of gold towards full and final settlement. Both of them decided not to file any cases against each other in future. Hence, the petition.

3. After filing of the petition, the matter has been posted after six months, and thereafter the reconciliation efforts made by the Court were turned futile.

4. Both petitioners have filed their affidavits before the Court and they are examined themselves as PWs.1 and 2 and got marked Ex.P1 Wedding Card, Ex.P2 Marriage photos, Ex.P3 Aadhar cards of both petitioners and Ex.P4 Identification certificates of both petitioners.

5. Heard the petitioners' counsel. Perused the record.

6. Now the point for consideration is whether the petition is to be allowed, for decree of divorce by dissolving the marriage dated 09.03.2023 between the petitioners on mutual consent?

7. **POINT:** PWs.1 and 2 in one voice have stated in their affidavits that their marriage was solemnized on 09.03.2023 at Lakshmi Parinaya Function Hall, ADB Road, Kakinada, according to Hindu rites and rituals and soon thereafter, the marriage had been consummated and both of them joined together and led happy conjugal life for some period and thereafter, there arose between them disputes, which made them to live separately since 20.01.2024 and they placed the matter before elders for reunion but of no avail and as there are no chances of reunion, both of them have reached a settlement with the intervention of elders to have a decree of divorce by mutual consent and in pursuance of their settlement, the 1st petitioner has agreed to retain the bracelet with 2nd petitioner weighing about 2 sovereigns of gold so also 2nd petitioner agreed to retain the gold jewellery with the 1st petitioner weighing about 20 sovereigns of gold towards full and final settlement. Both of them decided not to file any cases against each other in future.

8. Taking into consideration of the averments in the petition, and the testimony of the petitioners as PWs.1 and 2 coupled with Exs.P1 to 4, this Court is satisfied that the petitioners filed the present petition for divorce on

mutual consent with their free will and consent. Considering the above circumstances, I am of the view that there is a breakdown of relationship between the parties, and there is no possibility of reunion between them, hence the marriage between the petitioners can be dissolved. Accordingly, the point is answered.

9. In the result, the petition is allowed. The marriage between the petitioners performed on 09.03.2023 is hereby dissolved by way of granting decree of divorce on mutual consent. No costs.

Typed to my dictation to the Stenographer Gr-II, corrected and pronounced by me in open Court, this the 20th day of July, 2026.

III Addl. Civil Judge (Senior Division)
Kakinada

APPENDIX OF EVIDENCE

Witnesses Examined

For Petitioner:

For Respondent: NONE

PW1 : Sundaraneedi Navyata @ Koppana Navyata

PW2 : Sundaraneedi Rana Sai Pratap.

Documents Marked

For Petitioners:

For Respondent : NIL

Ex.P1 : Wedding Card

Ex.P2 : Marriage photo (three in number) along with Compact disc.

Ex.P3 : Aadhar Card of both petitioners (compared with originals)

Ex.P4 : Identification certificates of both petitioners

III Addl. Civil Judge (Senior Division)
Kakinada.

Petition presented on: 15.06.2026
and filed on: 18.06.2026

**IN THE COURT OF THE III ADDITIONAL CIVIL JUDGE (SENIOR DIVISION)
:: KAKINADA**

Present : Sri K.Mothilal
III Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Kakinada.

Monday, this the 20th day of July, 2026

H.M.O.P No. 244 /2026

1.	Sunderaneedi Navyatha @ Koppana Navyatha, W/o. Sunderaneedi Rana Sai Pratap, Advocate, aged 28 years, D/o. Koppana Venkata Surya Rao, D.No.14-238/12, Balaji Gardens, Gopalapatnam, Visakhapatnam.	
2.	Sunderaneedi Rana Sai Pratap, S/o. S.V.V.Raghavulu, Aged 32 years, employee in LIC of India, D.No.1-5-428/1, New Marthi Nagar, Hyderabad. Rangareddy District.	...Petitioners
Vs		
	NIL	...Respondent

This is a petition filed by the petitioners under Sec.13-B of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, for dissolution of their marriage dated 09.03.2023 by way of granting of divorce on mutual consent.

On this a court fee of Rs.10/- is paid under Art.1(vii) Sch.II of ACF Act.

Cause of action for the petition arose on 09.03.223, when the marriage between the petitioners took place and since 20.01.2024 from which date the petitioners lived separately and at Kakinada where the marriage of the petitioners took place, within the jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Court.

This petition coming on 03.07.2026 before me for hearing in the presence of **Sri. Dudala Lakshmana Rao**, Advocate for the petitioners and the matter having heard and stood over for consideration till this day, this

Court while allowing the petition claiming divorce **DOTH ORDER AND DECREE** as follows:

1. That the petition is be and the same is hereby allowed; and
2. That the marriage between the petitioners performed on 09.03.2023 be and is hereby dissolved by way of granting decree of divorce on mutual consent.
3. That there be no order as to costs of the petition.

Given under my hand and the seal of this court on this, the 20th day of July, 2026.

III Addl. Civil Judge (Senior Division),
Kakinada.

Particulars of costs:

-N I L-

III ACJ (Sr.D),
KKD.

Note: "The parties should apply, as soon as possible, for return of all exhibits which they wish to preserve, as the record will be liable to be destroyed after three years from the date of decree or order".

