

IN THE COURT OF THE V JUDGE, COURT OF SMALL CAUSES, CHENNAI

(MOTOR ACCIDENTS CLAIMS TRIBUNAL)

PRESENT: Tmt. M. SHAKKIRA BANU, M.A.M.L.,MHR.,PGDHRM.,PGDIPR.,DLLAL.,

V Judge

Thursday, this the 16th day of July 2026

MCOP No.3615/2025

CNR No.TNCH09-004042-2025

P R S Yogesh,
S/o. Sivamurugan,
aged about 18 years,
No.18, Block 1, New Police Quarters,
St.Thomas Mount, Kancheepuram - 600016.

...Petitioner

Vs.

1. Mariammal,
D/o. Narayanan,
No.100, Thiru Neelakanda Vinayagar Kovil street,
Alwarkurichi, Ambasamudram,
Tirunelveli - 627 412.

2. M/s.Royal Sundaram General Insurance Co. Ltd.,
Subramaniam Building, 2nd floor,
No.1, Club House road,
Anna Salai, Chennai - 600 002.

... Respondents

This petition coming on today 16.07.2026 for hearing before me in the presence of **Mr. G. Babu Ganesh** Counsel for the petitioner and **Mr. A. Jeganathan** Counsel for the 2nd respondent and the 1st respondent called absent and set exparte and in view of compromise memo filed and on perusing the case records and having stood over for consideration till this date, this court delivered the following.

AWARD

This claim petition has been filed under Section 166 of Motor Vehicles Act,1988 claiming compensation of **Rs. 30,00,000/-** with interest and costs.

1. Brief averments in the petition:

The petitioner stated that on 13.04.2025 at about 04.35 pm while the petitioner was a pillion rider in the TVS Jupiter motorcycle bearing Reg. No. TN 22 EA 9139 under Mount -Kathipara flyover near Thanduma nagar, Nagathamman kovil opposite from west to east direction, on the same road the driver of Hyundai Xcent Car bearing Reg. No. TN 76 AW 8974 drove in a rash and negligent manner and hit the petitioner. Due to the sudden impact the petitioner fell down and sustained grievous injuries all over the body. The accident occurred only due to rash and negligent manner of first respondent vehicle driver. The first respondent being the owner and the 2nd respondent being insurer of the vehicle are liable to pay compensation to the petitioner. Hence this petition.

2. Evidence:

The petitioner was examined as PW1 and compromise memo was marked as Ex.P1. The 2nd respondent company official Mr. Karthick, Deputy Manager was examined as RW1.

4. Orders passed on compromise:

When the case was pending in the stage of counter, both parties appeared and filed compromise memo and the same was accepted. In view of the same PW1 chief was recorded and the compromise memo was marked as Ex.P1. The 2nd respondent company official was examined as RW1. On careful perusal of the

Date :16.07.2026

compromise memo and the evidence of PW1 and RW1 it could be seen that both parties negotiated with respect to the compensation for PW1 and have arrived the settlement for **Rs.4,00,000/-**. Hence the petitioner relinquishes his claim. Considering the compromise memo filed, this Tribunal is inclined to pass the order in terms of the compromise.

5.Result:

In the result, this petition is allowed on compromise and an award of Rs. **4,00,000/-** (Rupees Four lakhs only) is passed in favour of the petitioner without interest and costs payable within a period of two months. The court fee paid shall be refunded as per rules.

Mode of Disbursement:-

(i) In accordance with the guidelines laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *Parminster Singh Vs. Honey Goyal & others, reported in 2025 (1) TN MAC 533 (SC)*, the 2nd respondent is hereby directed to transfer the award amount of **Rs. 4,00,000/-** (Rupees Four lakhs only) until the date of transfer and with cost to the credit of **petitioner account directly through NEFT or RTGS mode.**

Petitioner bank Account No.	00420110088463
Name of the Bank and Branch	UCO Bank, Sowcarpet branch, Chennai
IFSC code	UCBA0000042

(ii) In the event of electronic transfer being returned or is unsuccessful on account of closed or incorrect bank account or for any other reason attributable to the Petitioner, the respondent Insurance Company shall intimate the same to this Tribunal and the concerned Petitioner along with proof. The Petitioner shall furnish correct bank account particulars before this Tribunal within two weeks

Date :16.07.2026

from the date of such intimation, and the respondent Insurance Company shall re-transfer the amount to the corrected bank account within the time fixed by this Tribunal. The respondent insurance company shall not be liable for the additional interest on account of the delay caused due to incorrect bank particulars.

Dictated by me to the Typist and she directly typed it in the computer after corrections pronounced by me in Open Court, on this the 16th day of July 2026.

(M. SHAKKIRA BANU)
V JUDGE
COURT OF SMALL CAUSES
CHENNAI

Petitioner side witnesses: -

SL.No.	DATE	NAME OF THE WITNESSES	REMARKS
PW1	16.07.2026	Yokesh (Petitioner)	Ex.P1

Petitioner side exhibits: -

Sl No.	Date	Document Particulars	Document Type
Ex.P1	--	Memo of compromise/consent	Original

Respondent side witness:

SL.No.	DATE	NAME OF THE WITNESSES	REMARKS
RW1	16.07.2026	Karthick (Company official)	--

Respondent side exhibits: Nil

(M.SHAKKIRA BANU)
V JUDGE
COURT OF SMALL CAUSES
CHENNAI