

**IN THE COURT OF I ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
WEST GODAVARI, ELURU.**

Present: **Smt. Indira Priyadarshini Undru,**
I Addl. District & Sessions Judge
West Godavari, Eluru

Thursday, on this the 13th day of August, 2026

Crl.M.P.No.478 of 2026 in Cr.No.194/2026
of Chintalapudi Police Station.

Between:

Thotakura Ramaraju, S/o. t.Pullamraju, Aged 43 years, Kanthampalem
Village, Chintalapudi Mandal, Eluru District.

---Petitioner/A4.

And

The State: SHO., Chintalapudi P.S
Rep. By its A.P.P, W.G.Dist., Eluru.

---Respondent/ Complainant.

This petition is coming on 05.08.2026 before me for hearing in the presence of Sri Nerusu Satya Surya Pratheek, learned counsel for Petitioner/A4 and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent and upon perusing the records and on hearing both sides, this Court made the following:

ORDER

This application is filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarika Suraksha Sanhitha (BNSS), 2023, seeking the grant of bail. The petitioner is arrayed as Accused No.4 (A4) in Crime No.194 of 2026 of Chintalapudu Police Station, for offences punishable under Section 25 (1A), 25 (1AA) of Arms Act.

2. Notice was issued to the Additional Public Prosecutor.

3. Heard both sides.

4. The prosecution's case, in brief, is as follows:

On 24-07-2026 at about 1-30 P.M., on Chintalapudi - Kanthampalem Road, near Muslim Shadikhaana, Ganesh Colony, Chintalapudi Village & Mandal wherein the Sub-Inspector of Police, Chintalapudi Police Station he along with his staff were conducting vehicle checking and in the mean time, the A-1 & A-2 were possessing one country made single barrel firearm and coming on Hero Glamour motorcycle bearing No. AP 39 LE 3154 and tried to escape from there. Then the S.I. of Police and his staff apprehended the accused A-1 & A-2 and on verification, the A-1 & A-2 were possessing one country made single barrel firearm and one bullet. On questioning, the A-1 & A-2 confessed that, the A-1 decided to kill Palnati Mahesh and for this, the A-2 agreed to give his active support and also the A-2 said that, he purchased one country-made firearm and its live cartridge from the accused A-3, and would kill Palnati Mahesh by using the above firearm. For this, from 22-07- 2026 the accused A-1 & A-2 were moving here and there in between Namavaram and Ganijarla Villages carrying the gun and cartridge with the intention of killing Mahesh, but Mahesh not appeared them. Accordingly, the S.I. of Police seized (01) One country made single barrel firearm with wooden butt and also seized the crime vehicle i.e. Hero Glamour motorcycle bearing No. AP 39 LE 3154, under possession of the accused A-1 & A- 2, under cover of the detailed mediators report drafted by the mediators. During the course of investigation, basing on the confession statement of the accused A-1 & A-3, the accusation of A-3 was established. Basing on the confession statement of A-3, the accusation of A-4 & A-5 were established. Total five firearms were seized from the possession of accused A-3. Other firearm parts, which were used to assemble the firearms are also seized from the possession of accused A-4 & A-5.

5. Now the Point for determination is:

“Whether the Petitioner/A4 is entitled for bail as prayed for?”

POINT:

6. This is a case of criminal conspiracy, attempt to murder and rioting armed with deadly weapon likely to cause death with a common intention.

7. The petitioner/accused 4 sought bail on the grounds that he denies of having committed the alleged offence or any other offence and a false case was foisted against him at the instance of people inimical towards him. He further submits that he is a permanent resident of Raghavapuram Village, Chintalapudi Mandal and he is having dependent family i.e., wife with ill health and children and he is the sole bread winner of his family and he is ready and willing to furnish sufficient sureties for his due release.

8. Whereas, the learned PP opposed to allow the application and vehemently argued that if the accused would be released on bail, the accused would escape, and the accused is most important person in this crime and if he released on bail, it is very difficult to the police personal in tracing whereabouts of the accused and he will cause disappearance of evidence.

9. On perusal of the record A4 was remanded to Judicial custody on 25.07.2026 The record shows that all the petitioners / accused A1 to A5 played their respective roles for commissions of this offence. Moreover, the material investigation is not yet completed. Therefore considering the grave nature of the offence involving illegal possession / manufacture of firearm, the accused were carrying the firearm with the intention of murdering Planati Mahesh and accused were arrested under the possession of no licensing firearm, he is not entitled for bail as there is every chance to commit similar type of offence and he may abscond or tamper the evidence and influence the witnesses. Therefore the petitioner is not entitled for bail. According the this point is answered.

10. In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Typed to my dictation by Stenographer, corrected and pronounced by me in open court, on this the 13th day of August, 2026.

Sd/-Indira Priyadarshini Undru
I Addl. District & Sessions Judge,
West Godavari, Eluru.