



Criminal Bail Application No. 3826/2026

Deepak Kondiba Phadke Vs State of Maharashtra (Hinjawadi Police Station)

A. CASE DETAILS

1. FIR Number and Date 210/2026
dated 06/04/2026
2. Police Station, District and State Hinjewadi Police Station,
Pune.
3. Sections invoked u/s. 316(2), 318(4) of
Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,
4. Maximum punishment prescribed up to 7 years.

B. CUSTODY AND PROCEDURAL COMPLIANCE

- 1 Date of arrest 07/04/2026
- 2 Total period of custody undergone 2 months 26 days.

C. STATUS OF TRIAL

1. Stage of proceedings Chargesheet has not
(investigation/chargesheet/cogniza been filed
nce/framing of charge/trial)
2. Total No of witnesses cited in the NA
chargesheet
3. Number of prosecution witness Not yet.
examined

D. CRIMINAL ANTECEDENTS

- 1 FIR No. and Police Station 1. CR No. 748/2020,
Saswad Police Station,
Pune.

- 2 Sections u/s. 420, 507 r/w 34.
- 3 Status(pending/acquitted convicted) Pending.

E. PREVIOUS BAIL APPLICATIONS

- | | | |
|---|------------------|----|
| 1 | Court | NA |
| 2 | Case No. | NA |
| 3 | Outcomes of Case | NA |

F. COERCIVE PROCESSES

- 1 Whether any non-bailable warrant was issued NA
- 2 Whether declared a proclaimed offender NA

Appearance:- Ld. Advocate Mr. Abhijit Chithore for
applicant/Accused.
Ld. Advocate Smt. Phatale Chetana Shridhar for
informant.
Mr. Anilkumar Varma Ld. APP for State

ORDER BELOW EXH. 01
(Date :- 07/08/2026)

By this application, applicant -**Deepak Kondiba Phadke** has applied for bail under section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with CR No. 210/2026 registered with Hinjewadi Police Station, Pune for the offence punishable under sections 316(2), 318(4) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,

2] The Investigating Officer, the learned APP for the

State, and the informant/victim have filed their respective replies to the bail application and have opposed the prayer made therein.

3] The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant was arrested on 07.04.2026 and upon production before the learned JMFC, was remanded to police custody for a considerable period. Since 14.04.2026, the applicant is in judicial custody. The investigation has been completed and the charge-sheet has been filed before the concerned Court. The alleged offence is not punishable with death though punishable with life imprisonment. The applicant is a permanent resident of Village Kangaon and there is no likelihood of his absconding. In light of the above, the learned counsel prays for grant of bail by allowing the present application.

4] *Per contra*, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and the learned Advocate for the intervenor strongly opposed the application. It was submitted that the offence is serious in nature. From the very inception, the applicant had the intention to cheat the victim. With that intent, he compelled the victim to execute an agreement for securing a loan of Rs. 4 Crores for his construction business. On failure to comply with the terms of the said agreement, the applicant issued a cheque which upon presentation was dishonoured. In view of the above, they opposed the release of the applicant on bail.

5] Having heard the learned counsel for both sides and after perusing the allegations in the FIR, it prima facie appears that there was an express agreement between the applicant and the informant/victim, whereby the applicant was to assist in procuring/securing a loan of Rs. 4 Crores for the construction business of the informant. As the said loan could not be materialized, the applicant issued a cheque in favour of the victim, which on presentation was dishonoured. In the backdrop of the aforesaid facts, it is alleged by the victim that the applicant, from the very inception, had the intention to cheat him.

6] Prima facie, it appears that upon his arrest, the applicant was produced before the learned JMFC, Pune, who remanded him to police custody. Since 14.04.2026, the applicant has been in judicial custody. The investigation in the present crime has been completed and culminated in the filing of charge-sheet before the competent Court, which is now seized of the matter. The framing of charge and the trial will take their own course. However, considering that the bulk of the evidence in the present case is documentary in nature, and that copies of the alleged agreement and other relevant documents have already been seized by the Investigating Officer during investigation, further custodial interrogation of the applicant does not appear to be necessary.

7] The applicant is a permanent resident of Kangaon, Tal. Daund, Dist. Pune. As such, there is no flight risk.

8] It is true that the antecedents of the applicant are not clear. One C.R. No. 748/2020 is stated to have been registered against him at Saswad Police Station for offences punishable under Sections 420, 507 read with 34 of the Indian Penal Code. However, it is stated by the learned counsel for the applicant that he is on bail in the said case.

9] Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, I deem it fit to release the applicant on bail subject to certain conditions. Hence, the following order:

ORDER

1. Application (Exh.1) stands allowed.
2. Applicant **Deepak Kondiba Phadke** be released on bail on executing a Personal Recognizance Bond and furnishing Solvent Surety Bond in the sum of Rs. 55,000/- (Fifty Five Thousand Only), in Crime No. 210/2026 registered with Hinjewadi Police Station, Pune City for offences punishable under Sections 316(2)& 318(4) on the following conditions:

[i] Applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the present case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

[ii] Applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence in any manner.

[iii] Applicant shall make himself available as and when called by the investigation officer and attend each and every date of the hearing of the court proceedings before the Court concern.

[vi] Applicant shall submit his photo identity alongwith his contact details to the investigating officer.

[v] Applicant shall not commit or repeat any crime and shall abide by the conditions imposed by this Court.

3. Bail before concerned Court.
4. Copy of this order be forwarded to the Jail Authority, police station concern and informant.
5. In terms of above, Application (Exh.1) stands disposed.
6. Case diary is returned to the learned APP.
7. Humdast is allowed if prayed.

(Dictated and pronounced in open court)

Date: 07/08/2026

(S. B. Bhansali)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Pune.

Certificate

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file order are same word for word as per original order.

Name of Steno. :- V. A. Chaudhari (Steno, Grade-I)

Court Name :- S. B. Bhansali
Addl. Sessions Judge, Pune.

Date :- 07/08/2026
order signed by P.O. on :- 07/08/2026
order uploaded on :- 07/08/2026