

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE AT TIRUVALLUR

Present: Tmt. J. JULIET PUSHPA, B.Sc., M.L.,

Principal District and Sessions Judge, Tiruvallur

Monday, the 17th day of November, 2025

Crl.M.P.No:4770/2025

Sanjay, S/o Velu

...Petitioner/Accused

//Versus//

State Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Nazarethpet Police station
(Crime No: 301/2025)

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 07.11. 2025 u/s 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me having the petition filed by the petitioners Counsel M/s P. Ramachandran, the respondent police through the Public Prosecutor, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, perusing the reply of the Public Prosecutor for the State/Respondent and perusing the records and material and hearing both sides, this court made the following:-

ORDER

Heard arguments of both side. Records perused. Petition filed u/s 483 of BNSS seeking to release the petitioner who was remanded on 17.10.2025 in Crime No.301/2025 on the file of Nazarethpet police station registered for the offence u/s 115(2), 126(2), 296(b), 311, 351(3) of BNS.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argued that, the petitioner is innocent, he has not committed any offence as alleged by the respondent police, no offence was committed, only for statistical purpose, and only due to some previous cases, he has been falsely implicated in a false case has been put up by the respondent police, properties already recovered, petitioner is in custody for the past 32 days, he is ready to cooperate for investigation and ready to abide by any condition, therefore prayed for granting the bail.

Notice given to concerned police and reply received. The learned Public prosecutor argued that on 14.10.2025 at about 11.30 PM, when the defacto complainant was coming near Nazarethpet Signal near Thoupathi amman temple, the petitioner along with other accused waylaid him and demanded money, when he refused to part way with the money, they snatched the realme cellphone, ATM card and asked him to give the password and by that they have withdrawn Rs.6100/- through G-pay, and escaped, thus based on the complaint, FIR was registered, they were arrested on 17.10.2025, cell phone not recovered, and the investigation is pending

The petitioner states in this petition that there is no similar bail petition is pending before any other Court including Hon'ble High Court, Madras and the respondent has also not stated as to pending of any bail petition before Hon'ble High Court, Madras in this case. Hence, this Court considers that there is no impediment to entertain this petition and pass orders on merits.

As per the reply of the learned Public Prosecutor, petitioner is having criminal antecedents with 3 previous cases and the investigation is still pending. Hence, if released, there is every likelihood that he would commit similar or other offences.

Therefore, on considering

- (i) grave nature of offence,
- (ii) investigation is still pending,
- (iii) serious accusation of offence as against the accused person and the petitioner under section 311 of BNS.
- (iv) That value of the property and the amount involved as the property of cellphone is not recovered,
- (v) There is no change in circumstances,
- (vi) and also considering other facts and circumstances,

this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Shorthand Writer and Pronounced by me in Open Court on this 17th day of November, 2025

**Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruvallur**

Copy to

The Petitioner's Advocate M/s P. Ramachandran,
Thiru. S.Murthy, Public Prosecutor for the State
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Poonamallee
The Inspector of police, Poonamallee police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison-II, Puzhal, Chennai