

Sl.No.	Date of Order Of proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office notice taken with date
1	2	3	4
	03.08.2026	<u>In the Court of Sessions Judge East Singhbhum at JSR</u> <u>B.P. no. 565 of 2026</u> <u>(CNR No. JHJR 0100-4546 -2026)</u> Rohit Kumar Sharma, aged about 39 years son of Shambhu Nath Sharma, resident of Road no.2, Bajrang Nagar, Near Hanuman Mandir, Govindpur, town Jamshedpur, District East Singhbhum Petitioner Vs. State of Jharkhand Opp. party Present : Arvind Kumar Pandey Sessions Judge, Civil Courts, East Singhbhum, Jamshedpur. ----- Bail petition being no. 565 of 2026 dated 27.07.2026 was pressed and moved today. The said bail petition has been filed on behalf of accused petitioner, namely, Rohit Kumar Sharma who has been under judicial custody in connection with Golmuri PS Case No. 52/2026, registered U/Ss. 126(2), 127(8)/140(3)/308(2)/ 308(5)/ 303(2)/351(3)/ 3(5) of BNS, which is pending before the Court of Mr B Oraon JM 1st Class, at Jamshedpur. Copy of the same was already served to Ld. P.P. for the state. The criminal law was set into motion on the basis of written application of the informant Udit Kumar Pradhan son of late Satyanand Pradhan, resident of New DS Flat, Cable Town, Qr.no.116, PS Golmuri, Town Jamshedpur, District Singhbhum East, in which the informant has stated that on 7.5.2026 at about 3.00 PM in the afternoon, he received a call from mobile no.7491826069 over his mobile no.9931156894 from one Rohit Sharma. The alleged person called him at Tinplate Kali Temple for the purpose of admission in Class IX. On his request he reached there. Thereafter he was made to sit in a Tata Safari vehicle and his eyes was covered with cloth. Thereafter some persons were also seated in the vehicle. Thereafter the vehicle started moving driven by Rohit Sharma in which the name	

Sl.No.	Date of Order Of proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office notice taken with date
1	2	3	4
	<u>03.8.2026 Contd..P/2</u>	of Ayush @ Dhiraj was detected. Rohit Sharma has stated to him that he had earned huge money in the business of admission. Thereafter the Rohit Sharma and his associates demanded money from him and took out Rs.11,400/- from his pocket and thereafter they snatched his ATM Card and knew about the PIN of ATM from him. Thereafter they at about 10.30 PM took out Rs.29,600/- from his account. They dropped down him from the vehicle at Kantilal Hospital. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of accused/ petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has been falsely booked in this case on the basis of suspicion without any material on the record. It has been further submitted that nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and the petitioner has been made accused in this case on the basis of concocted and planted story. Apart from it, it has been submitted that there is no evidence on the record to show that the informant delivered the money to the petitioner. In this regard section 308(2) and 308(5) of BNS are not applicable. It has been further submitted that the occurrence had taken place on 7.5.2026 but the FIR was lodged on 11.5.2026 and there is no explanation for the delay of lodging the FIR. The informant lodged this afterthought on the basis of concocted story. It has been further submitted that regular bail of co accused, Ayush @ Dhiraj Kumar was granted by this court through BP no.434 of 2026 and the case of this petitioner is similar. However the petitioner has been under judicial custody since 13.5.2026. Therefore, it is prayed that the petitioner be released on regular bail. On the other hand, learned P.P. for the state has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submitted that petitioner alongwith his associates first of all called the informant at Kali Temple Tinplate and thereafter informant was kidnapped by them. Further the petitioner and his associates took out money from his pocket and snatched his ATM card and withdrew the amount of Rs.29,600/- The	

Sl.No.	Date of Order Of proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office notice taken with date
1	2	3	4
	<u>03.8.2026 Contd..P/3</u>	petitioner had taken active part in this crime. Learned PP has further submitted that the petitioner has three criminal antecedent of this type of occurrence. In this situation, the petitioner should not be entitled for regular bail. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case record including case diary. It appears from the record that the petitioner is named in the FIR but nothing has been recovered from his possession. I further find that charge sheet has been submitted. Bail cannot be denied to the accused only on the ground that other cases are pending against him. Further regular bail of similarly situated co accused, Ayush @ Dhiraj Kumar was granted by this court through BP no.434 of 2026 and the case of this petitioner is similar. It appears that the occurrence had taken place on 7.5.2026 but the FIR was lodged on 11.5.2026. There is no explanation for the delay in filing the FIR against the petitioner. The conduct of the informant clearly shows that this FIR was lodged against the petitioner afterthought. The petitioner has been under judicial custody since 13.5.2026 i.e. more than two months. Having regard to the facts and circumstances available on record and considering the period of detention but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner/accused deserve to be released on regular bail. As such, I am inclined to enlarge the accused/petitioner, namely, Rohit Kumar Sharma on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court. (Dictated) Sd/- (Arvind Kumar Pandey) Sessions Judge, JSR(ID No0392 Memo No. _____/2025.....Copy forwarded to the court of Sri B Oraon, JM 1st Class Jamshedpur, for information and needful. (Arvind Kumar Pandey) Sessions Judge, JSR(ID No.0392)	