

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENNAI

**Present: Thiru.S.Karthikeyan, M.A., M.L., M.Sc., PGDCFS., PGDDF.,
Principal Sessions Judge.**

Monday, the 22nd day of June, 2026.

Crl.M.P.No.4938/2026

in

C.A.SR.No.6453/2026

against

C.C.No.4471/2010

(on the file of the Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai)

A. Sardhar,
S/o. Abdul Khader

...Petitioner / Appellant /
Accused-6.

Vs.

The Inspector of Police,
Central Bureau of Investigation,
SCB, Chennai.

Respondent/Respondent/
Complainant

This petition is coming on this day before this court for hearing in the presence of M/s. V. Srimathi, Avinash Wadhwani, K. Abhirame, the counsel for the petitioner and of the Special Public Prosecutor for the respondent and no objection reply having been filed by the respondent and upon recording the same, this Court delivered the following:

ORDER

1. Petition to condone the delay of one day in filing the criminal appeal.

2. On perusal of records it is found that the petitioner herein is the Accused No.6 in C.C.No.4471/2010 on the file of the Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai. On 28.04.2026, judgement was pronounced in the above case and the petitioner was found guilty for the offence punishable u/s. 120B r/w. 420, 467, 468 & 471 IPC and also found guilty for the offence punishable u/s.420, 467, 468 & 471 IPC. For the offence punishable u/s. 120B r/w. 420, 467, 468 & 471 IPC, the petitioner was sentenced to undergo simple imprisonment for 2 years each and shall pay fine of Rs.5,000/- each offences, in default, to undergo simple

imprisonment for three months. For the offence punishable u/s.420, 467, 468 & 471 IPC, the petitioner was sentenced to undergo simple imprisonment for 2 years each and shall pay fine of Rs.5,000/- each offences, in default, to undergo simple imprisonment for three months. According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, there was a delay of one day in filing the criminal appeal and the said delay has arisen on factors beyond his control and it is neither willful nor deliberate. Hence, prays to condone the delay of one day in filing the appeal.

3. The respondent police have filed a reply stating that they have no objection to the petition.

4. The petitioner is A6 in this case and the trial court has convicted him. Against the said conviction he has filed the appeal with a delay of one day. Considering the fact that the delay is one day and the respondent have filed a reply stating that they have no objection, this court is of the considered view that the delay has to be condoned in the interest of justice. Accordingly, this petition is allowed.

Dictated to Stenographer, transcribed by him, corrected and pronounced by me in the open Court, this the 22nd day of June, 2026.

PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE.