

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENNAI

Present: Thiru.S.Karthikeyan, M.A., M.L., M.Sc., PGDCFS., P.G.DD.F.,

Principal Sessions Judge.

Monday, the 8th day of June, 2026

Crl.M.P.No. 4802/2026

and

(Crl.MP.No.5011/2026)

(intervene petition)

in

G-3, Kilpauk P.S., Crime No.116/2026

Gracy,

.. Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
G-3, Kilpauk Police Station,
Chennai District.

..Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming on this day before this court for hearing in the presence of M/s.M.Preethi, K.Mohan, K.Selvakumar, the Counsel for the petitioner, M/s. Prasanna Natarajan, R.Vivekananthan, Counsel for the intervenor and of the CPP for respondent police and upon hearing both sides, this Court delivered the following,

ORDER

1. The petitioner, who was arrested on 03.05.2026 for the offences punishable under Section 306 of BNS in Crime No.116/2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent. He is no way connected with this case. The petitioner is ready and willing to co-operate with the investigation. The missing amount has been repaid and a sum of Rs.3,15,000/- was returned to the victim. The petitioner is in custody from 03.05.2026 and hence, prays for granting bail.

3. On the other hand, learned CPP submits that the petitioner stolen 4500 British Pounds from the defacto complainant's house. 1279 pounds were recovered from the petitioner and remaining yet to be recovered. If he is released on bail, he may abscond and indulge in similar kind of offence. Hence, he objects granting bail.

4. The counsel for the intervening petitioner states that he lodged a complaint before the respondent about the theft of 4000 British Pounds and some Indian currencies from the residence of his Senior Advocate based on the information given by his Senior suspecting two employees. Based on the complaint, the police has registered the case against the petitioner and recovered the stolen money of Rs.1,65,000/- from the accused. The petitioner voluntarily with her friend approached the defacto complainant and paid Rs.2,00,000/- and promised to pay the balance of Rs.1,85,000/- within fifteen days from the date of release on bail and also filed an affidavit to that effect. Th Learned counsel for the intervenor submitted that the intervenor has no objection to grant bail to the petitioner by imposing the condition for payment of Rs.1,85,000/- and recording the said affidavit.

5. The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner herein stolen 4500 British pounds from the house of the defacto complainant's Senior. The learned CPP has submitted that already 1279 British Pounds were recovered from the petitioner and remaining yet to be recovered. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has already paid a sum of Rs.2 lakhs to the defacto complainant and is ready to pay the remaining sum of Rs.1,85,000/- equivalent to the pounds said to have been missing within 15 days from the date of release on bail. The petitioner also filed an affidavit to that effect. The learned counsel for the intervenor has no objection to grant bail to the petitioner by imposing condition that a sum of Rs.1,85,000/- is to be paid to the defacto complainant within 15 days from the date of his release on bail. The petitioner was arrested on 03.05.2026 and she is in incarceration since then. Considering the above facts, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner on condition to pay a sum of Rs.1,85,000/- to the defacto complainant from the date of release on bail.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on her executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of the learned II Metropolitan Magistrate, Chennai, and on further condition that:-

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

(b) the petitioner shall pay a sum of Rs.1,85,000/- (Rupees One Lakh Eighty Five Thousand Only) to the defacto complainant within 15 days from the date of release on bail.

(c) the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m. until further orders.

(d) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(e) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(f) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the above said petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the above said petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**.

(g) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

Dictated to Steno-typist, typed by him directly, corrected and pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of June, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge

Copy to :

1. The Learned II Metropolitan Magistrate, Chennai.
2. The Superintendent, Women Central Prison, Puzhal III, Chennai.

GB