

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENNAI

Present: Tmt.R.K.P.Tamilarasi, M.L.,

IV Additional Sessions Judge,

Incharge : Principal Sessions Judge.

Wednesday, the 10th day of June, 2026.

Crl.M.P.No.4801/2026

in

R-5, Virugmabakkam P.S. Crime No.262/2026

Gopalakrishnan @ Ghost Gopal

.. Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

State Rep. by

The Inspector of Police,

R-5, Virugambakkam Police Station,

Chennai.

..Respondent/Complainant.

This petition is coming on this day before this court for hearing in the presence of M/s.A.Esakupandy, S.Ramesh Kumar, V.Suryaprakash, V.G.Nishok and R.Balaji, the Counsel for the petitioner and of the CPP for respondent police and upon hearing both sides, this Court delivered the following,

ORDER

1. The petitioner, who was arrested on 20.04.2026 for the offences punishable under Section 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 126(2), 109(1), 351(3) of BNS in Crime No.262/2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was not present at the occurrence place. There is no overtact as against the petitioner. The alleged assaulted was made by A1. Co-accused were granted bail by this court. The petitioner is in custody from 20.04.2026. Hence, prays for bail.

3. On the other hand, the learned CPP submits that the petitioner along with others brutally attacked the defacto complainant with a knife and caused serious cut injuries to him. The defacto complainant has taken treatment as inpatient for 10 days and thereafter discharged. The Petitioner is having 7 previous cases, including one murder case. Knife recovered. If he is let on bail, possibility of abscond and indulge in similar kind of offence. Hence, he strongly opposed the petition.

4. The allegation is that due to previous enmity, the petitioner along with others attacked the defacto complainant and caused serious injuries to him. Co-

accused were granted bail by this court. The victim also discharged from the hospital. Considering the submissions of the learned counsel on either side and the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a likesum to the satisfaction of the learned XXIII Metropolitan Magistrate, Chennai and on further condition that

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

(b) the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m. until further orders.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(e) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]**.

(f) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

Dictated to Steno-typist, typed by him directly, corrected and pronounced by me in open court this the 10th day of June, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge(i/c)

Copy to :

1. The learned XXIII Metropolitan Magistrate, Chennai.
2. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai.

ss