

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENNAI

**Present: Thiru.S.Karthikeyan, M.A., M.L., M.Sc., PGDCFSc., PGDDF.,
Principal Sessions Judge.**

Monday, the 8th day of June, 2026

CrI.M.P.No.4798/2026
in
F.2, Egmore P.S., Crime No.317/2026

1. Vishnu
2. Santha Kumar

.. Petitioners/Accused

Vs.

State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
F.2, Egmore Police Station,
Chennai.

..Respondent/Complainant.

This petition is coming on this day before this court for hearing in the presence of M/s. S. Mohan Raj, S. Tamilarasan, K.A. Karthikeyan, the counsel for the petitioners and of the CPP for the respondent and upon hearing them, this Court delivered the following

ORDER

1. The petitioners, who were arrested on 24.05.2026 for the offences punishable under Section 296(b), 132, 351(3) of BNS and Secc.4(1)(A) of TNP Act in Crime No.317/2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent. They are noway connected with the alleged offence. They have been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioners are in custody from 24.05.2026. Hence, prays for granting bail.

3. On the other hand, learned CPP submits that during the patrol duty, the respondent police found that these petitioners/accused indulged in the illegal sale of liquor bottles without valid license. When the police officials tried to apprehend him, the accused abused them and prevented them from performing duties. During

search, the accused were found in possession 16 Nos. of liquor bottles. The accused were arrested and the alleged contraband were seized. Investigation is pending. The 1st petitioner is having 11 previous cases. Hence, he objects granting bail. However, he submits that the 2nd petitioner has no bad antecedent.

4. The allegation is that the petitioners were indulged in the illegal sale of liquor bottles without any valid licence and from them 16 Nos. of liquor bottles were seized. The accused had also abused the police officials and prevented them from performing duties. According to learned CPP, the 1st petitioner is having 11 previous cases. Considering the same, this court is not inclined to grant bail to Vishnu, the 1st petitioner herein. As far as the 2nd petitioner is concerned, since no bad antecedent is reported against him, this court is inclined to grant bail to the 2nd petitioner subject to condition.

5. Accordingly, the 2nd petitioner/Santha Kumar is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties, each for a likesum to the satisfaction of the learned XIV Metropolitan Magistrate, Chennai and on further condition that

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity.

(b) the 2nd petitioner shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m. until further orders.

(c) the 2nd petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(d) the 2nd petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(e) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the above 2nd petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the above 2nd petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

(f) If the 2nd petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

6. As far as the 1st petitioner is concerned, the petition is dismissed.

Dictated to Steno-typist, typed by her directly, corrected and pronounced by me in open court this the 8th day of June, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge

Copy to :

1. The XIV Metropolitan Magistrate, Chennai.
2. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Puzhal.

nmk