

In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-III, Begusarai

A.B.A No. 877 of 2026

Arising out of Teghra P.S Case No. 52 of 2026

-
1. Bulla Singh @ Raghubansh Singh, S/o-Late Dinkar Singh
 2. Sonu Kumar, S/o-Bulla Singh
Both r/o-Village-Madhurapur Puwari Tola
P.S-Teghra, District-Begusarai

.....Petitioners

Versus

The State of BiharOpp. Party

Present :

- : Sri Diwakar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the accused/petitioners
: Sri Dilip Kumar, Ld. Addl. P.P for the State
-

ORDER

29.06.2026

1. The instant anticipatory bail application has been filed by and on behalf of the above named accused/petitioners in connection with Teghra P.S Case No. 52 of 2026 for having committed offences punishable under sections 351(2), 308(2), 352, 324(4), 3(5) of B.N.S as they are apprehending their arrest in this case.
2. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners are innocent and have not committed any offence and have falsely been implicated in this case and no any other bail petition in connection with this case has either been filed by the petitioners in this court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna and the petitioners have no criminal antecedents. It is further submitted that the allegation of extortion attributed specifically to the accused Bulla Singh that he demanded Rs. One lakh as rangdari tax on behalf of Neeraj Singh is wholly false, inherently improbable and manufactured and the petitioner no. 02 Sonu Kumar is the son of the accused Bulla Singh, who resides and earns his livelihood in Mumbai and visits his native village only on the occasions of religious rituals and festivals and the allegations against both the petitioners are general, omnibus, vague, and sweeping in nature. Lastly, a prayer is made to grant anticipatory bail order in favour of the petitioners as the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions as stipulated U/s-438(2) of Cr.P.C or 482(2) of B.N.S.S.
3. On the other hand, the learned Addl. P.P opposed the prayer of the petitioners and submitted that the petitioners were acting at the behest of a hard core criminal namely Niraj Singh, who is also one of the accused persons in this case and against whom five other criminal cases of similar nature are registered in two different police stations. He further submitted that a separate prohibitory proceedings has been initiated by the police against the petitioners pertaining to the incident caused by the petitioners and the allegation against the petitioners are serious in nature as they not only getting the crops

In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-III, Begusarai


A.B.A No. 877 of 2026

Arising out of Teghra P.S Case No. 52 of 2026

of the informant gazed by their cattles at the dead of the night but when the informant and others prevented them, the petitioners laced with arms chased them to kill and there is also demand of extortion money by the petitioners from the informant, therefore, the petitioners do not deserve anticipatory bail order in their favour.

4. Briefly stated allegations as per FIR against the petitioners are that on 22.01.2026 in the night, the present petitioners were getting grazed crops of the informant by their cattles and when the informant and other persons reached there and forbade the petitioners then they pointing firearms, chased the informant and the informant and others somehow managed to save their life. There is also allegation of demand of extortion from the informant.
5. From perusal of contents of FIR, it is apparent that the petitioners are named in the FIR. Record further reveals that the petitioners used to destroy the standing crops of the informant by getting it grazed through cattles and there is further allegation that on the date of incident the petitioners were indulged in same act in the dead of night and when the informant and others objected then the petitioners pointing firearms and abusing chased the informant and others to kill them. Moreover, no plausible explanation is coming for as why the informant would falsely implicate the petitioners in this case.
6. It is further pertinent to point out that while dealing with the anticipatory bail plea the court must evaluate the threat or apprehension of arrest vis a vis the nature and gravity of accusation, criminal antecedent of the accused, false or exaggerated implication, need of custodial interrogation, willing of the accused to cooperate the investigation and conduct of the accused.
7. In the light of the aforesaid discussion and also keeping in mind, the serious accusation against the present petitioners as well as to unearth the entire nexus and to collect the material evidences, the custodial interrogation of the petitioners may be required. Thus, this court is of the view that the petitioners have failed to make out a case for grant of anticipatory bail order in their favour. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail petition is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated


(Mukund Kumar) 29/06/2026

District & Additional
Sessions Judge-III, Begusarai

Date of Order	29.06.26
Date of reserving Order	27.06.26
Uploading Date	07.07.26
Uploaded by	Brijendra Kumar