

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
AT CHEYYUR

Present: **Tmt.C.M.Amaravathi, M.L.,**
District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate, Cheyyur.

Friday, the 12th day of June, 2026

Crl.MP.No.171/2026

in

Cr. No.72/2026

1. Aravind, aged 23/2026,

S/o.Annamalai

2. Raja, aged 26/2026,

S/o.Moorthy

...PETITIONERS/ACCUSED

-Vs-

State of Tamilnadu,

Rep. By Special Sub Inspector of Police,

Chunambedu PS (Cr.No.72/2026)

U/s.296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) BNS.

RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT..

This petition is coming on this day for final hearing before this court in the presence of Mr.G.Murali, Mr.M.Murugesh and Mrs.K.Anitha, Advocates for the Petitioners/Accused persons and Assistant Public Prosecutor Grade - I represented for state, upon perusing the petition before this court and upon hearing both side argument, this court Pronounced the following.

ORDER

This petition was filed by the Petitioner seeking Bail U/s.480 BNSS for the alleged offence under section 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) BNS.

1. Brief averments in the FIR:

On perusal of the FIR it reveals that the police registered a case against the accused persons in Crime No.72/2026 for the offence under section 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS. As per the FIR on 23.05.2026 at about 9.30 P.M. the Petitioners/Accused persons along with other accused persons abused the defacto complainant with obscene language to the defacto complainant were allegedly pulled by the hand, pushed down and attacked with Beer bottle and Iron rod beaten by the three of them and also threatened them with direconsequences and thereby committed an offence punishable under section 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS.

2. Brief averments in the petition:

The Petitioner states that the Petitioners/Accused persons were charged for an alleged offence under section 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) BNS. The Petitioners/Accused persons were remanded to judicial custody on 08.06.2026. They further states that they are innocent and they would not commit similar offences and they are prepared to furnish substantial sureties for their due appearance. Hence, this petition has to be allowed on condition.

3. Respondent Reply:-

Per contra, the learned APP contended that, the investigation in this case is not completed and if the accused persons are released on bail, they may interfere with the investigation and tamper the witness. Further they may again involve in same kind of offence. Further victim is discharged from the hospital. Hence, the accused cannot be released on bail.

4. Point for consideration is whether the petition has to be allowed or not?

5. DECISION:-

Heard both side. Records perused. On perusal of records it is found that the Respondent/Police registered a case against the Petitioners/Accused persons for the alleged offence under section 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS. The learned counsel for the Petitioner argued the injured person was discharged from the hospital. Further it is seen that the that the Petitioners/Accused persons are in judicial custody for a period of 5 days. Further it is seen that the Petitioners/Accused persons were remanded to judicial custody on 08.08.2026 and they have been in judicial custody for the past 5 days. Therefore, considering the nature of offence and period of incarceration, this court is inclined to grant bail on the following condition:-

- (i) Petitioners are directed to execute bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties to the satisfaction of this court. The sureties are directed to produce ration card or bank pass book and Aadhar Card for their identity and residential proof. Further the sureties are directed to furnish two recent photographs each and directed to make their thumb impression in the bond.
- (ii) Petitioners are directed to appear and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.30 AM for 15 days.
- (iii) Petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(iv) Petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial,

Failing the above conditions, the bail order will automatically gets cancelled.

6. In the result, the petition is allowed.

This Order is typed by typist corrected and pronounced by me on 12th day of June, 2026.

District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate,
Cheyyur.