

சார்பு நீதிமன்றம், மதுராந்தகம்

OS. No. 128/2018

பெயர் திரு. சிவம் போடார்
த/பெ.

நாள்.03.06.2026

வாதி சாட்சி 2 அழைக்கப்பட்டு சத்திய பிரமாணம் செய்யப்பட்டு பிரதிவாதி தரப்பில் குறுக்கு விசாரணை (சாட்சிக்கு தமிழ் தெரியாது என்பதால் ஆங்கிலத்தில் குறுக்கு விசாரணை)

1. Complaint, notices and other records were prepared based upon my instructions alone. On 12.01.2007 I was not present at Cheyyur village. On that day, I was in Chennai. Then, how I state in complaint that, physical possession was taken on 12.01.2007 meant I was not aware of that. On 12.01.2007 Exhibit A1 was executed at Delhi and that I was not present at a time of execution of Exhibit A1. I deny that the Exhibit A1 is fabricated and forged by me. For Exhibit A1, the consideration for sale was made by my Father on 10.01.2007. As per recitals in Exhibit A1, the consideration was paid by me. But the sale consideration was only paid by my father. I was in a possession of the property for 3 to 4 years only from the date of Exhibit A1. Thereafter the defendant took forcible possession of the property due to my absence. I was unable to state the exact date when the defendant took possession of the property.

2. It is true that somewhere in 2010 or 2011 there was dispute between me and the defendant in respect of Exhibit A1. Due to trusting our family relationship, I didn't take any steps for the same. I denied that, I was aware of the fact that the defendant disputing Exhibit A1 in the year 2010 itself. At present only there is dispute between

my family and defendant's family. It arose in about 2018. It is true that, there is an arbitration clause in Exhibit A1. I didn't issue any notice on the arbitration act. I deny that, as per Exhibit A1, the suit is not maintainable.

(பிரதிவாதிகள் வழக்கறிஞர் வேண்டுகோளுக்கிணங்க குறுக்கு விசாரணை தொடர்ச்சிக்காக ஒத்திவைக்கப்படுகிறது)