

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THIRUKAZHUKUNDRAM**

**In the presence of Thiru.S.Vineeth, B.A.,B.L.,(Hon's)
District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Thirukazhukundram**

Monday, the 20th day of July 2026

CMP.902/2026 in Cr.No.300/2026
(on the file of Thirukalukundram Police Station)
CNRNO.TNCG120016732026

Muthamizhmaran, age 24 years,
S/o.Anaperumal.

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

The State Represented by
The Sub Inspector of Police,
Thirukalukundram Police Station,
Cr.No.300/2026
u/s.115(2), 296(b), 351(2) BNS
4 TNPHW Act.

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition came up on this day for final hearing before this court in the presence of M/s.B.Jayakumar, K.Thirumoorthyguru counsels for petitioner, Assistant Public Prosecutor Grade-I for the respondent and upon perusing the documents and having stood over for consideration till this date, this court made the following,

Order

1. The petition is filed under section 478 and 480 BNSS Act 2023 to enlarge the petitioner/accused on bail in Crime No.300/2026.
2. In the petition, it is stated that petitioner was arrested for the offence under sections 115(2), 296(b), 351(2) BNS and 4 of TNPHW Act and detained to judicial custody on 16.07.2026. Petitioner is innocent and falsely implicated in this case. He

is ready to offer substantial sureties. Hence filed this bail application to enlarge petitioner on bail.

3. A notice was issued to the respondent and Assistant Public Prosecutor. A detailed reply statement was filed by the respondent. In the reply statement stated that the investigation is still pending, if the accused is released on bail he may commit similar kind of offence and 1) Cr.No.40/21, u/s 294(b), 323, 448, 506(ii) IPC, 2) 326/2024 u/s 294(b), 323, 324, 427, 506(ii) IPC CC.No.132/2025, 3) 396/2024 u/s 126(ii), 296(b), 351(2) BNS r/w 4 of TNPHW Act r/w 3(1) of TNPPDL Act, SC No.92/2020 4) 499/2024 u/s 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) BNS, 5) 429/2025 u/s 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 309(4), 311 BNS of Thirukalukundram Police Station are pending against the petitioner. Hence strongly objected to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The arguments heard on the side of counsel for the petitioner. The learned petitioner's counsel argued that the petitioner is innocent and false case has been foisted against accused. The petitioner is in judicial custody for 5 days. He is ready to offer substantial sureties. Hence prayed to grant bail to the petitioner with stringent conditions.

5. The entire records perused. On perusal of the records, the petitioner was arrested and detained to judicial custody on 16.07.2026 and he is in judicial custody for 5 days till date. The offence against accused is under sections 115(2), 296(b), 351(2) BNS and 4 of TNPHW Act.

6. On considering the facts and circumstances, the police have filed the reply stating that there are 5 previous cases were pending against the accused and considering the nature of offence alleged to have been committed by the accused and considering the previous cases, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is dismissed.

Dictated to Steno-typist, typed by her in the Computer directly, printed, corrected and pronounced by me in open Court this the 20th day of July 2026.

District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate,
Thirukazhukundram.