

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THIRUKAZHUKUNDRAM**

**In the presence of Thiru.S.Vineeth, B.A.,B.L.,(Hon's)
District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Thirukazhukundram**

Monday, the 20th day of July 2026

CMP.876/2026 in Cr.No.135/2026
(on the file of PEW, Mamallapuram Police Station)
CNRNO.TNCG120016312026

Amirtham, aged 44/2026
W/o.Murugan.

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

The State Represented by
The Sub Inspector of Police,
PEW Mamallapuram Police Station,
Cr.No.135/2026

u/s.4(1)(i), 4(1)(A) TNP Amendment Act 2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition came up on this day for final hearing before this court in the presence of M/s.K.Duraimurugan, N.Lavanya counsels for petitioner Assistant Public Prosecutor Grade-I for the respondent and upon perusing the documents and having stood over for consideration till this date, this court made the following,

Order

1. The petition is filed under section 480 and 483 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioner/accused on bail in Crime No.135/2026.
2. In the petition, it is stated that the petitioner was arrested for the offence under Section 4(1)(i), 4(1)(A) TNP Amendment Act 2024 and detained to judicial custody on 13.07.2026. The petitioner is innocent and falsely implicated in this case. She is

ready to offer substantial sureties. Hence filed this bail application to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

3. A notice was issued to the respondent and Assistant Public Prosecutor. A detailed reply statement was filed by respondent. In the reply statement stated that investigation is still pending, if the accused is released on bail she may commit similar kind of offences and 1) Cr.No.01/2025 u/s.4(1)(A), r/w 4(1)(i) TNP Act, 2) Cr.No.39/2025 u/s.4(1)(A), 4(1)(i) TNP Act, 3) Cr.No.225/2025 u/s.4(1)(A), r/w 4(1)(i) TNP Act, 4) Cr.No.267/2025 u/s. 4(1)(A), r/w 4(1)(i) TNP Act, 5) Cr.No.307/2025 u/s. 4(1)(A), r/w 4(1)(i) TNP Act on the file of PEW- Mamallapuram Station are pending against the accused. Hence strongly objected to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The arguments heard on the side of counsel for the petitioner. The learned petitioner's counsel argued that the petitioner is innocent and false case has been foisted against accused. The petitioner is in judicial custody for 8 days. She is ready to offer substantial sureties. Hence prayed to grant bail to the petitioner with stringent conditions.

5. The entire records perused. On perusal of the records, the petitioner was arrested and detained to judicial custody on 13.07.2026 and she is in judicial custody for 8 days till date. The offence against accused is under sections 4(1)(i), 4(1)(A) TNP Amendment Act 2024.

6. On perusal of records, there are 5 previous cases were pending against the accused and considering the nature of offence alleged to have been committed by the accused and considering the previous cases, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is dismissed.

Dictated to Steno-typist, typed by her in the Computer directly, printed, corrected and pronounced by me in open Court this the 20th day of July 2026.

(Sd) S.Vineeth, 20.07.2026
District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate
Thirukazhukundram