

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THIRUKAZHUKUNDRAM**

**In the presence of Thiru.S.Vineeth, B.A.,B.L.,(Hon's)
District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Thirukazhukundram**

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026

CMP.563/2026 in Cr.No.208/2026
(on the file of Thirukalukundram Police Station)
CNRNO.TNCG120010692026

Sadham Hussain, aged 24/2026
S/o.Abdul Kuthus.

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

The State Represented by
The Sub Inspector of Police,
Thirukalukundram Police Station,
Cr.No.208/2026
u/s.20(b)(ii)(A), 8(c) NDPS Act.

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition came up on this day for final hearing before this court in the presence of M/s.M.Nisha Sangeethkumar counsel for petitioner, Assistant Public Prosecutor Grade-I for the respondent and upon perusing the documents and having stood over for consideration till this date, this court made the following,

Order

1. The petition is filed under section 480 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioner/accused on bail in Crime No.208/2026.
2. In the petition, it is stated that the petitioner was arrested for the offence under Section 20(b)(ii)(A), 8(c) NDPS Act and detained to judicial custody on 11.05.2026. The petitioner is innocent and falsely implicated in this case. He is ready to offer substantial sureties. Hence filed this bail application to enlarge the petitioner on bail.
3. A notice was issued to the respondent and Assistant Public Prosecutor. A detailed reply statement was filed by respondent. In the reply statement stated that

investigation is still pending, an arrest warrant has been issued against the accused by the Hon'ble Sessions Judge, POCSO Special Court, Chengalpattu, to execute the arrest warrant, a request letter was issued on 19.05.2026 to issue a PT warrant and if the accused is released on bail he may commit similar kind of offences. Hence strongly objected to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The arguments heard on the side of counsel for the petitioner. The learned petitioner counsel argued that the petitioner is innocent and false case has been foisted against accused. The petitioner is in judicial custody for 17 days. He is ready to offer substantial sureties. Hence prayed to grant bail to the petitioner with stringent conditions.

5. The entire records perused. On perusal of the records, the petitioner was arrested and detained to judicial custody on 11.05.2026 and he is in judicial custody for 17 days till date. The offence against accused is under sections 20(b)(ii)(A), 8(c) NDPS Act.

6. On perusal of records, an arrest warrant has been issued against the accused by the Hon'ble Sessions Judge, POCSO Special Court, Chengalpattu, to execute the arrest warrant, a request letter was issued on 19.05.2026 to issue a PT warrant, in these circumstances, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is dismissed.

Dictated to Steno-typist, typed by her in the Computer directly, printed, corrected and pronounced by me in open Court this the 27th day of May 2026.

(Sd) S.Vineeth, 27.05.2026
District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate
Thirukazhukundram.