

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THIRUKAZHUKUNDRAM**

**In the presence of Thiru.S.Vineeth, B.A, B.L.,(Hon's)
District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Thirukazhukundram**

Monday, the 16th day of March 2026
CMP.286/2026 in Cr.No.118/2025 in C.C.No.75/2025

Murugan S/o.Raji

...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

The State Represented by
The Sub Inspector of Police,
Thirukalukundram,
Cr.No.118/2025
u/s.74, 78(2) BNS
and 4 of TNPHW Act.

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition came up on this day for final hearing before this court in the presence of Mr.Chandrasekaran, Mrs.I.Ammu, counsels for petitioner, Assistant Public Prosecutor Grade-I for the respondent and upon perusing the documents and having stood over for consideration till this date, this court made the following,

Order

1. The petition is filed under section 480 BNSS Act 2023 to enlarge the petitioners/accused on bail in Crime No.118/2025 in C.C.No.75/2025.
2. In the petition, it is stated that petitioner was arrested on execution of Non Bailable Warrant issued by this court, for the offence under sections 74, 78(2) BNS and 4 of TNPHW Act and detained to judicial custody on 23.02.2026. The petitioner is innocent and falsely implicated in this case. He is ready to offer substantial sureties. Hence filed this bail application to enlarge petitioner on bail.
3. A notice was issued to the respondent and Assistant Public Prosecutor. A detailed reply statement was filed by the respondent. In the reply statement stated

that accused has not appeared before this court at the stage of trial. If the accused is released on bail he will not appear during the hearing. Hence strongly objected to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The arguments heard on the side of counsel for the petitioner. The learned petitioner's counsel argued that the petitioner is innocent and false case have been foisted against accused. It is also stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the accused will abide by any conditions and will be present before this court for every hearing. The petitioner is in judicial custody for 22 days. Hence, prayed to grant bail to petitioner even with stringent conditions.

5. The entire records perused. On perusal of the records, the petitioner was produced on execution of NBW and detained to judicial custody on 23.02.2026 and he is in judicial custody for 22 days till date. The offence against accused is under section 74, 78(2) BNS and 4 of TNPHW act.

6. On considering the facts and circumstances, the period of judicial custody, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with the following conditions:-

(i) Petitioner is directed to furnish two sureties by executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- to the satisfaction of this court. Out of which one surety must be a family members. The sureties are directed to produce ration card or bank pass book and Aadhar Card for their identity and residential proof. Further the sureties are directed to furnish two recent photographs each and directed to make their thumb impression in the bond.

(ii) Petitioner is directed to appear and sign before this respondent police daily

at 6.00 PM for one month.

(iii) Petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness.

(iv) The petitioner shall not abscond either during trial.

Failing the above conditions, the bail order will automatically gets cancelled.

In the result, the petition is allowed with conditions.

Dictated to Steno-typist, typed by her in the Computer directly, printed, corrected and pronounced by me in open Court this the 16th day of March 2026.

District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate
Thirukazhukundram

