

**IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THIRUPORUR**

Present: Thiru.K.Nilavarasan, B.A., B.L.,
District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Thiruporur.

Monday, the 01st day of June, 2026

CMP. No.431/2026 in Cr.No.222/2026

PMR Roadways Private Ltd.,
Rep by its Chief Manager,
Mr.Balakumar, S/o.Durai,
No.7/38, Rajaji Street, Manimedu,
Thandalam, Sriperumbudur,
Kanchipuram.

...

Petitioner/Owner of the Vehicle

-Vs-

The State Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
TIW Pallikaranai Police Station
Cr.No.222/2026.

U/s.281 & 106(1) BNS

...

Respondent /Complainant

This petition came up on this day for final hearing before this Court in the presence of Ms. G.Kiruthiga, learned Counsel for the petitioner and the Assistant Public Prosecutor Gr.I(i/c) for the respondent and upon perusing the documents and having stood over for consideration till this date, this court made the following,

ORDER

1. The petition is filed under section 497 r/w 503 BNSS 2023 to direct the respondent to produce the vehicle and return the vehicle bearing Regn.No.TN-87-F-5278 as interim custody.

2. In the petition, it is stated that the petitioner is working as Chief Manager in PMR Roadways Private Ltd and he is authorised to file this petition to get the vehicle Four wheeler, Ashok Leyland, LT 1511.1 T6R, Private Service Vehicle, White Colour, bearing Regn.No.TN-87-F-5278, Engine No.RGEZ419017 Chassis No.MB1PEECDXREGP3823. The vehicle was seized by the respondent. The vehicle is kept in open at the respondent police station. Hence, filed this application to direct the respondent to produce the vehicle and to return the vehicle bearing Regn.No. TN-87-F-5278 as interim custody.

3. A notice was issued to the respondent. A detailed reply was submitted by the Respondent police.

4. The arguments heard on the side of counsel for the petitioner. The counsel for the petitioner argued that, the petitioner is the Chief Manager in the PMR Roadways Private Ltd., and authorised person to filed this petition to get the property. The property was seized by the respondent. He is ready to produce the property whenever necessary. Hence, prayed to allow the application. The Respondent Police stated that the petitioner may not produced the property before this court. Hence strongly objected to release the property to the petitioner.

5. The entire records perused. On perusal of the records, the property was recovered by the respondent on 07.04.2026. The property was produced before this court on 12.05.2026 and was numbered as PI.No.102/2026, dated 12.05.2026.

6. On considering the facts and circumstances of this present case, the fact that property belongs to PMR Roadways Private Ltd., and the petitioner is the authorised person to get the vehicle, and considering the guidelines given by Hon'ble Supreme

Court in Sundarbai Ammbalal's case, this court is inclined to return the property Four wheeler, LT 1511.1 T6R, bearing Regn.No.TN-87-F-5278, as interim custody to the petitioner with the following conditions:-

(i) The petitioner shall file an affidavit undertaking that he will not to alter the shape, and also directed not to alienate the property.

(ii) The petitioner is directed to furnish one surety by executing a bond for a sum of Rs.24,00,000/- to the satisfaction of this court. The surety is directed to produce ration card and bank pass book for their identify and residential proof. Further the sureties are directed to furnish two photographs and directed to make thumb impression in the bond.

(iii) The Petitioner shall take photographs of the vehicle, attest the same and produce before this court along with 63BSA certificate.

(iv) The Panchanama shall be prepared as per rules.

Failing the above conditions, the order will automatically gets cancelled.

In the result, the petition is allowed with conditions.

Dictated to the Steno-typist directly, typed by her, corrected and pronounced this order by me on 01st day of June 2026.

**District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate
Thiruporur.**