

73 Ct. Cases / 2112/2025
JAI RAM GARG Vs. SUNIL

07.07.2026

Present: None for the complainant.
Sh. Sandeep Srivastav, Ld. counsel for proposed accused no. 1 to 4.
Mr. Suraiya, Ld. counsel for proposed accused no.5 (through VC)

Complainant is directed to pursue the case diligently, failing which matter shall be dismissed for non-prosecution.

Put up for consideration and arguments on summoning on **22.08.2026**.

(DIVYASHREE RAINA)
JMFC/(North) Rohini Courts
Delhi/07.07.2026/Court No. 14

At 1:00 pm

At this stage, Sh. Ritesh Goel, Ld. counsel for the complainant has appeared and he has been apprised of the NDOH as well as order passed today.

At this stage, Ld. counsel for the complainant is arguing that summoning has already taken place and is relied on order dt. 04.12.2025 and despite making it clear that order dt. 04.12.2025 is not summoning order and is only notice to the accused persons in compliance of Section 223 BNSS, the Ld. counsel for the complainant is still arguing. Let cost of Rs. 2000/- is imposed upon the complainant for making frivolous submissions before the court to be deposited in DLSA North Rohini.

At this stage, complainant has started arguing (through VC) and is again saying that the accused persons have been directed to appear in person vide order dt. 14.03.2026. Despite explaining that the notice has been issued to the proposed accused and upon service of the notice, Ld. counsel for proposed accused persons have already appeared today, the complainant is insisting on appearance of the physical appearance of the proposed accused persons. Let additional cost of Rs. 1000/- be imposed upon the complainant for making frivolous submission despite request of the undersigned to make submissions as per law.

(DIVYASHREE RAINA)
JMFC/(North) Rohini Courts
Delhi/07.07.2026/Court No. 14