

**IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE COURT NO.II
CHENGALPATTU**

Present: Tmt. S. SAHAANA, M.A., M.L., M.A.,

Judicial Magistrate – II Chengalpattu

Monday, the 20th day of July 2026

CNR No. TNCG06-004361-2026

CrI.M.P. No. 1713 of 2026 in Cr.No.03 of 2026

1. Vedhagiri, aged about 30 years,
s/o. Veerabathiran
2. Govindarajan, aged about 37 years,
s/o. Manavalan

... Petitioners/Accused

Vs

State Represented by,
Inspector of Police,
DCB Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.

...Respondent/Complainant

This Petition came up for final hearing before me in the presence of M/s. M. Premkumar, N. Guber, K. Arunprasad, learned Counsels for Petitioners and learned Assistant Public Prosecutor Grade II for Respondent. Upon hearing both side arguments and upon perusal of available records this Court made the following:

ORDER

1. The Bail Application is filed under Section 480 BNSS.

2. Brief averment of the Petition:

The Petitioners/accused were arrested by Respondent Police for an alleged offences under Section 120B, 34, 415, 416, 417, 420, 423, 464, 465, 466, 467, 468, 471 IPC and remanded to judicial custody on 14.07.2026. The Petitioners are innocent and falsely implicated and they have not committed any offence. The Petitioners are assures to co-operate for further investigation. The Petitioners are ready to offer solvent sureties to the satisfaction of the Court. Hence, the accused may be enlarged on bail.

3. Brief averment of the Reply by the Prosecution:

This is the first bail application filed by the petitioners. The investigation is in the preliminary stage. The petitioners are involved in Crime No. 03/2026 on the file of the DCB P.S., Chengalpattu District, an offences under section 120B, 34, 415, 416, 417, 420, 423, 464, 465, 466, 467, 468, 471 IPC. Hence, this Petition may be dismissed.

4.Point for determination:

Whether the Bail Application can be allowed or not?

5. Discussion:

Heard both sides. Records perused. On perusal of records, it reveals that the Petitioners/accused namely 1. Vedhagiri, aged about 30 years, s/o. Veerabathiran; 2. Govindarajan, aged about 37 years, s/o. Manavalan were arrested in Cr. No. 03/2026 offence u/s. 120B, 34, 415, 416, 417, 420, 423, 464, 465, 466, 467, 468, 471 IPC and they were remanded to judicial custody on 14.07.2026, now they are under judicial custody.

6. The Petitioners counsel argued that the petitioners are innocent and they only signed and they are no way connected to the case. Hence, the Petitioners may be enlarged on bail.

7. On going through the records, it reveals that the accused allegelley cheated by impersonation and allegelley created forged and fabricated documents and used the forged documents as genuine and cheated the defacto complainant. The alleged cheated propety is of huge value and it is situated as prime area. Further, it reveals that, the offence is not only grave in nature but also sensational in nature. Hence, on considering modus operandi, stage of investigation, alleged amount involved, if the accused is released on bail, they are likely to abscond, likely to tamper the evidence and hamper the investigation and likely to commit same or similar kind of offence. The contention on the Petitioner side that they only signed alone is not a ground to consider this bail application, since, the alleged property value is huge and the investigation is in preliminary stage. Hence, on considering above and in the interest of justice, this Bail Application is dismissed.

8. In the result, the bail application is dismissed.

Dictated to the Typist, directly typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me on this 20th day of July 2026.

Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Chengalpattu.