

**IN THE COURT OF THE I ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE: SANGAREDDY**

(Friday, on this the 21st day of August, 2026)

PRESENT: Smt. K. Jayanthi,
I Additional District and Sessions Judge,
Sangareddy.

Crl.M.P. No. 722 of 2026

IN

Crime No. 1027 of 2026

(P.S. Patancheru)

Between:-

Manoj Vinod, S/o Baiju Jamadar
Aged about 29 years, Occ: Scrap shop worker
R/o Post Kapsiyawahan Hilsa of Nalanda District
Bihar State
Now, residing at Electricity office, Isnapur village
Patancheru Mandal, Sangareddy District.

.....Petitioner/Accused

AND

The State of Telangana through,
P.S Patancheru.

... Respondent/Complainant

This petition came up before me for final hearing in the presence of m/s M. Sridevi, Counsel for the Petitioner/Accused and the Learned Special Public Prosecutor for the Respondent/Complainant, upon perusing the material papers available on record and upon hearing both sides, this Court made the following:-

ORDER

1. This Petition is filed by the Petitioner/Accused under Section 483 of BNSS praying this Court to release him on bail in Crime No.

1027 of 2026 of Patancheru Police Station, which is registered for the offences under Sections 65(1), 351 (2), 308 (1), 78, 75 of BNS137(2), 75(2) of BNS and Section 3 r/w 4 of POCSO Act, 2012.

2. Notice given to the Learned Special Public Prosecutor, who opposed the same.

Notice served on the victim through Police Patancheru, who did not file any counter.

3. This Court heard the arguments on either side and perused the entire record.

Now, the point for determination is:

Whether the Petitioner/Accused is entitled to be released on bail?

POINT:-

4. As seen from the record, it is the brief case of the prosecution that accused developed acquaintance with the victim minor girl and, thereafter, followed, harassed sexually, assaulted and threatened her. The accused had been in contact with the victim minor girl for some time and during April, 2026, the accused made gestures to her to come along with him. Further, on 28/29.06.2026, with an intention to exploit

her, he forcibly entered into the house, closed the door, sexually assaulted the victim minor girl and took a videos/photos of the incident showing h er face. Thereafter, he contacted the victim through mobile phone of her father, repeatedly harassed her, sent the photograph/video and demanded Rs. 12,000/- by threatening to upload it on social media platforms, such as Facebook, Instagram and YouTube.

5. The Learned Counsel for the Petitioner/Accused submitted that the Petitioner is no way concerned with this case and he is falsely implicated in this case with false allegations without there being any prima facie evidence due to previous grudges, no investigation is pending against the petitioner and prayed to enlarge the Petitioner/Accused on bail, subject to any conditions.

6. Per contra, the Learned Special Public Prosecutor opposed for the same stating that the accused took photographs/videos of the victim and on the said pretext, he had been threatening the victim and committed sexual assault on the victim, grave and severe allegations were made against the accused, the accused induced the victims 1 to 4 in this case and investigation is at primary stage and sought for dismissal of the same.

7. As seen from the record, prima-facie accusation is well found against the Accused. As per Remand Case Diary, there are four victim girls in this case, grave and severe allegations were made against the accused. The accused had developed acquaintance with the victim minor girls, on such acquaintance, he had been threatening, inducing and harassing them and committed sexual assault on them and threatened them. Investigation is still at primary stage, some more witnesses are yet to be examined, Section 183 BNSS statements of the victims have been recorded. Medical examination is pending. At this stage, if the petitioner/accused is enlarged on bail, there is every chance to threaten or influence the prosecution witnesses, more particularly, the victims and their family members in this case. Under these circumstances and on considering the gravity of offence, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the Petitioner/Accused on bail, at this stage. Accordingly, the point in question is answered.

8. In the result, this Criminal Miscellaneous Petition is dismissed.

(Dictated to Stenographer, transcribed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in the Open Court on this the 21st day of August, 2026)

Sd/-

(Smt. K.Jayanthi)

I Additional District and Sessions Judge,
Sangareddy.

Copy to:-

M/s M. Sridevi, Counsel for the Petitioner/Accused.