

**IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE COURT NO.II  
CHENGALPATTU**

**Present: Tmt. S. SAHAANA, M.A., M.L., M.A.,**

**Judicial Magistrate – II Chengalpattu**

**Friday, the 17<sup>th</sup> day of July 2026**

**CNR No. TNCG06-004072-2026**

**CMP.No.1584/2026 in Cr.No.147/2026**

Ramjan Begam, age – 36  
W/o. Akbar Sherif

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State Represented by,  
Sub Inspector of Police,  
Otteri Police Station.

...Respondent/Complainant

This Petition came up for final hearing before me in the presence of M/s. B. Venkatesan, B. Samsudeen, Counsels for Petitioner and learned Assistant Public Prosecutor Grade II for Respondent. Upon hearing both side arguments and upon perusal of available records this Court made the following:

**ORDER**

**1.** The Bail Application is filed under Section 480 BNSS.

**2. Brief averment of the Petition:**

The Petitioner/accused was arrested by Respondent Police for an alleged offence under Section 3(2)(a), 4(1),5(1)(a) ITP Act and remanded to judicial custody on 04.07.2026 The Petitioner is innocent and falsely implicated and she has not committed any offence. The Petitioner is ready to offer solvent sureties to the satisfaction of the Court. Hence, the accused may be enlarged on bail.

**3. Brief averment of the Reply by the Police / Prosecution:**

This is the first bail application filed by the petitioner. The investigation is in the preliminary stage. If the petitioner/accused released on bail, strong objection of the respondent police, her further indulgence in similar such crime and malicious intentional acts could not be curtailed. Hence, this Petition may be dismissed.

**4. Point for determination:**

**Whether the Bail Application can be allowed or not?**

**5. Discussion:**

Heard both sides. Records perused. On perusal of records, it reveals that the

Petitioner/accused namely Ramjan Begam, age – 36, W/o. Akbar Sherif was arrested in Cr. No. 147/2026 offence u/s. 3(2)(a), 4(1),5(1)(a) ITP Act and she was remanded to judicial custody on 04.07.2026, now she is under judicial custody.

6. The Petitioner counsel argued that it is a false case and hence, the Petitioner may be enlarged on bail.

7. On perusal of records, it reveals that the investigation is only on preliminary stage. Further, it reveals that the accused has allgedly keeping brothel and used the place as the brothel and allegedly living on the earning of prostitution and inducing the person for the sale of prostitution. The offence is grave in nature, Since it is an offence against the women. Further, it reveals that to victim woman have been secured from the alleged occurance place. Hence, on considering modus operandi, stage of investigation, strong objection of the responent police,if the accused person is released on bail, she is likely to abscond, likely to tamper the evidence and hamper the investigation and likely to commit same or similar kind of offence. The petitioner was a new employee and no way connected to this case alone is not a ground to consider this bail application, since the offence is grave in nature. Hence, on considering above and in the interest of justice, this Bail Application is dismissed.

**8. In the result, the bail application is dismissed.**

Dictated to the Typist, directly typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me on this 17<sup>th</sup> day of July 2026.

Judicial Magistrate No.II,  
Chengalpattu.