

**IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE COURT NO.II
CHENGALPATTU**

**Present: Tmt. S. SAHAANA, M.A., M.L., M.A.,
Judicial Magistrate – II Chengalpattu
Monday, the 08th day of June 2026
CMP.No.1178/2026 in Cr.No.165/2026**

Vinothkumar, aged about 31 years,
s/o. Mohan.

... Petitioners/Accused

Vs

State Represented by,
Sub Inspector of Police,
Guduvancherry Police Station.

...Respondent/Complainant

This Petition came up for final hearing before me in the presence of M/s. G. Kannan, C. Jagadesan, R. Boobalan, learned Counsels for Petitioner and learned Assistant Public Prosecutor Grade II for Respondent. Upon hearing both side arguments and upon perusal of available records this Court made the following:

ORDER

1. The Bail Application is filed under Section 480 BNSS.

2. Brief averment of the Petition:

The Petitioner/accused was arrested by Respondent Police for an alleged offences under Section 126(2), 296(b), 308(5), 351(3) of BNS and remanded to judicial custody on 20.05.2026. The Petitioner is innocent and falsely implicated and he has not committed any offence. The Petitioner is ready to offer solvent sureties to the satisfaction of the Court. Hence, the accused may be enlarged on bail.

3. Brief averment of the Reply by the Police / Prosecution:

This is the first bail application filed by the petitioner. The investigation is in the preliminary stage. The accused is having previous case history in various police stations. If the petitioner/accused released on bail, he further indulgence in similar such crime and malicious intentional acts could not be curtailed. Hence, this Petition may be dismissed.

4. Point for determination:

Whether the Bail Application can be allowed or not?

5. Discussion:

Heard both sides. Records perused. On perusal of records, it reveals that the Petitioners/accused namely Vinothkumar, aged about 31 years, s/o. Mohan was arrested in Cr. No. 165/2026, offences u/s. 126(2), 296(b), 308(5), 351(3) of BNS and he was remanded to judicial custody on 20.05.2026, now he is under judicial custody.

6. The Petitioner counsel argued that it is a false case and hence, the Petitioner may be enlarged on bail.

7. On perusal of records, it reveals that the investigation is only on preliminary stage. Further, it reveals that the Petitioner alleged to have extorted a sum of Rs. 1,500/- from the defacto complainant. Further, it reveals that various cases are pending as against the accused in various Police Station. Hence, on considering modus operandi, stage of investigation, strong objection of the respondent police, if the accused is released on bail, he is likely to abscond, likely to tamper the evidence and hamper the investigation and likely to commit same or similar kind of offence. Hence, on considering above and in the interest of justice, this Bail Application is dismissed.

8. In the result, the bail application is dismissed.

Dictated to the Typist, directly typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me on this 08th day of June 2026.

Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Chengalpattu.