

**IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE COURT NO.II
CHENGALPATTU**

**Present: Tmt. S. SAHAANA, M.A., M.L., M.A.,
Judicial Magistrate – II Chengalpattu
Monday, the 01st day of June 2026
CMP.No.1152/2026 in Cr.No.356/2026**

1. Raji, aged about 55 years,
s/o. Aruldoss
2. Karunakaran, aged about 46 years,
s/o. Subramani.
3. Gulasekaran, aged about 48 years,
s/o. Subiramani.
4. Anbazhagan, aged about 47 years,
s/o. Arumugam.
5. Nithiyanantham, aged about 29 years,
s/o. Arumugam.
6. Siva, aged about 36 years,
s/o. Thirunavukarasu.
7. Dhinesh, aged about 32 years,
s/o. Paranthaman.
8. Manivannan, aged about 43 years,
s/o. Vasu.
9. Vinayagam, aged about 52 years,
s/o. Venkatesan.

... Petitioners/Accused

Vs

State Represented by,
Inspector of Police,
Chengalpattu Taluk Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.

..Respondent/Complainant

This Petition came up for final hearing before me in the presence of M/s. M. Satheesh Babu, K. Elumalai, learned Counsels for Petitioners and learned Assistant Public Prosecutor Grade II for Respondent. Upon hearing both side arguments and upon perusal of available records this Court made the following:

ORDER

1. The Bail Application is filed under Section 480 BNSS.

2. Brief averment of the Petition:

The Petitioners/accused were arrested by Respondent Police for an alleged offences under Section 12, 8, 9 TNG Act, 112(2), 318(4), 61(2) BNS on 20.05.2026. The Petitioners are innocent and falsely implicated in this case and they are not committed any offences. The Petitioners are ready to offer solvent sureties to the satisfaction of the Court. Hence, the accused persons may be enlarged on bail.

3. Brief averment of the Reply by the Police / Prosecution:

This is the second bail application filed by the petitioner. The accused person were remanded on 20.05.2026 and they are in incarceration for the past 13 days. Hence, if they are released on bail, they will indulge in similar type of offences in future and further tamper with the evidence and witnesses. Hence, this Petition may be dismissed.

4. Point for determination:

Whether this Bail Application can be allowed or not?

5. Discussion:

Heard both sides. Records perused. On perusal of records, it reveals that the Petitioners/accused person namely 1. Raji, aged about 55 years, s/o. Aruldoss; 2. Karunakaran, aged about 46 years, s/o. Subramani; 3. Gulasekaran, aged about 48 years, s/o. Subiramani; 4. Anbazhagan, aged about 47 years, s/o. Arumugam; 5. Nithiyanantham, aged about 29 years, s/o. Arumugam; 6. Siva, aged about 36 years, s/o. Thirunavukarasu; 7. Dhinesh, aged about 32 years, s/o. Paranthaman; 8. Manivannan, aged about 43 years, s/o. Vasu ; 9. Vinayagam, aged about 52 years, s/o. Venkatesan was arrested in Cr. No. 356/2026 offences u/s. 12, 8, 9 TNG Act, 112(2), 318(4), 61(2) BNS and they were remanded to judicial custody on 20.05.2026, now they are under judicial custody.

6. The Petitioners side argued that the Petitioners are innocent and it is false case foisted as against the accused persons and the same was vehemently objected by the prosecution through the reply.

7. On perusal of records, it reveals that the investigation is almost completed.

Considering the nature of offence, the fact that they are the sole bread winner of the family, this Bail Application is allowed on following condition,

- 1) The Petitioners shall execute an own bond for Rs.10,000/-.
- 2) The Petitioners shall furnish two sureties in which one must be relative and to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- each.
- 3) The Petitioners shall appear before the Respondent Police everyday Morning at 10.00 A.M. for 30 days.
- 4) The Petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial.
- 5) The Petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

Dictated to the Typist directly typed by her corrected and pronounced by me on this 01st day of June 2026.

Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Chengalpattu.