

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Tuesday, the 24th day of February 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.334 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-000639-2026)

O. Rajesh, aged 25 years, S/o.Obul Raj,
Masoothi Street, Mahatma Gandhi Nagar,
Taramani (P.O.), Taramani, Chennai - 600 113.

.. Petitioner/accused

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by Inspector of Police,
Thuraipakkam Police Station

Cr. No.508 of 2025

.. Respondent/Complainant

U/s.126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, 2023.

This petition for anticipatory bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.V.S.Gopu, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner/accused and M/s.R.Thirumurugan, the learned Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing the arguments and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s.482 of B.N.S.S. to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

2. The petitioner apprehend arrest at the hands of respondent police for the alleged offences U/s.126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 in connection with Cr. No.508 of 2025 of respondent Police Station.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the oral reply received. Heard both sides and perused the records.

4. The case of the prosecution is that in continuation to the family dispute, on 07.12.2025 at 07.00 P.M., the petitioner along with other accused restrained the defacto complainant, uttered abusive words towards him, assaulted him with iron rod, caused injuries and also threatened with dire consequences. Thereby, FIR has been registered in Cr. No.508 of 2025 on the file of Thuraipakkam Police Station.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner/accused is an innocent person, he is no way connected with the said offence; the injured person was discharged from hospital; this petitioner also sustained injury in the occurrence; earlier bail in Crl.M.P.No.4027 of 2025 datd 07.01.2026 was dismissed by this court; moreover he is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the court and praying to grant anticipatory bail to the Petitioner.

6. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, strongly objected that though the victim was discharged from hospital. Moreover, there is no material change of circumstances with the earlier bail application. However, the petitioner is a habitual offender, involved in five other previous cases and prays for the dismissal of the petition.

7. It is submitted that the petitioner is a habitual offender, involved in five other previous cases. Taking into consideration of the gravity of the offence, nature of offence in which the petitioner/accused is charged, previous antecedents of the petitioner, the strong objection made by the learned Public Prosecutor and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the earlier bail application was dismissed by this court in Crl.M.P. No.4027 of 2025 dated 07.01.2026, this court does not

find any material change of circumstances with the earlier bail application. Hence, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner/accused. **Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.**

Pronounced by me in open court, on this the 24th day of February 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.