

**IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE COURT NO.II
CHENGALPATTU**

**Present: Tmt. S. SAHAANA, M.A., M.L., M.A.,
Judicial Magistrate – II Chengalpattu
Wednesday, the 18th day of March 2026
CrI.M.P. No. 666 of 2026 in Cr.No.33 of 2024**

Balaji,
s/o. Gangaram

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State Represented by,
Inspector of Police,
CCB, Tambaram.

...Respondent/Complainant

This Petition came up for final hearing before me in the presence of M/s. R.Pattusamy, G. Saravanan, L. Amirthalingam learned Counsels for Petitioners and learned Assistant Public Prosecutor Grade II for Respondent. Upon hearing both side arguments and upon perusal of available records this Court made the following:

ORDER

1. The Bail Application is filed under Section 480 BNSS.

2. Brief averment of the Petition:

The Petitioner/accused was arrested by Respondent Police for an alleged offences under Section 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 472 IPC and remanded to judicial custody on 03.03.2026. The Petitioner is innocent and falsely implicated and he has not committed any offence. The Petitioner assures to co-operate for further investigation. The Petitioner is ready to offer solvent sureties to the satisfaction of the Court. Hence, the accused may be enlarged on bail.

3. Brief averment of the Reply by the Prosecution:

This is the first bail application filed by the petitioner. The investigation is in the preliminary stage. The petitioner is involved in Crime No.33 of 2024 on the file of the CCB Tambaram P.S. an offences under section 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 472 IPC. Hence, this Petition may be dismissed.

4.Point for determination:

Whether the Bail Application can be allowed or not?

5. Discussion:

Heard both sides. Records perused. On perusal of records, it reveals that the Petitioner/accused namely Balaji, aged about 48 years, s/o. Gangaram was arrested in Cr. No. 33/2024 offence u/s. 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 472 IPC and he was remanded to judicial custody on 03.03.2026, now he is under judicial custody.

6. The Petitioner counsel argued that the petitioner is innocent and he only signed and he is no way connected to the case. Hence, the Petitioner may be enlarged on bail.

7. On going through the records, it reveals that the accused allegedly cheated by impersonation and allegedly created forged and fabricated documents and used the forged documents as genuine and cheated the defacto complainant. The alleged cheated property is of huge value and it is situated as prime area. Further, it reveals that, the offence is not only grave in nature but also sensational in nature. Hence, on considering modus operandi, stage of investigation, alleged amount involved, if the accused is released on bail, he is likely to abscond, likely to tamper the evidence and hamper the investigation and likely to commit same or similar kind of offence. The contention on the Petitioner side that he only signed alone is not a ground to consider this bail application, since, the alleged property value is huge and the investigation is in preliminary stage. Hence, on considering above and in the interest of justice, this Bail Application is dismissed.

8. In the result, the bail application is dismissed.

Dictated to the Typist, directly typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me on this 18th day of March 2026.

Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Chengalpattu.