

**IN THE COURT OF THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II, AT
CHENGALPATTU**

Present:-Tmt. S. Sahaana, M.A.,M.L.,M.A.,
Judicial Magistrate No-II, Chengalpattu
Wednesday, the 01st day of April 2026.
Crl.M.P. No. 618 of 2026 in Cr.No.518 of 2025

Joseph Ravirajan, aged about 35 years,
s/o. Francis carvalho.

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs

State Represented by,
Assistant Sub-Inspector of Police,
Railway Protection Force, Chengalpattu.

...Respondent/Complainant

This Petition came up for final hearing before me in the presence of M/s. K.Chakkarapani, J.Dilliraj, M.Vetri Vendhan, V.Nithiyanathan, learned Counsels for Petitioner and learned Assistant Public Prosecutor Grade II for Respondent. Upon hearing both side arguments and upon perusal of available records this Court made the following:

ORDER

1. The property Application is filed under Section 497 and Section 503 of BNSS.

2. Brief averment of the Petition filed by the Petitioner is as follows:

The Petitioner herein is the owner of the property namely Hard Disk was recovered by the Respondent Police. Hence, the Petitioner filed this Petition seeking to produce the seized properties and request to handover the Hard Disk which was seized by the Respondent Police as the interim custody.

3. Brief averment of the Reply by the Police / Prosecution:

The petitioner is the owner of the property. If the case property is returned, the petitioner may alienate/dispose the same and the prosecution can not prove the case during trial. Hence this petition may be dismissed.

4. Point for determination:

Whether this Application can be allowed or not?

5. Discussion:

Heard. Records perused. On perusal of records, it reveals that the Petitioner has filed a Petition u/s 497 and 503 of BNSS seeking the relief to produce the seized Hard Disk and request to hand over the properties to the Petitioner as Interim Custody.

6. On perusal of records, further, it reveals that the Respondent Police registered an FIR in Cr. No. 518 of 2025, offences u/s. 143(1)(a) of Railway Act and the properties Hard Disk also seized and produced before this Court. Further, it reveals that the amount involved are huge sum of amount. Since, the offence is grave in nature and also sensational in nature, if the case properties are returned to the petitioner, the petitioner may alienate/dispose the same and may involve and similar kind of offence. Hence, on considering above and in the interest of justice, this petition is dismissed.

7. In the result, this Petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Typist, directly typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me on this 01st day of April 2026.

Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Chengalpattu.