

14 CS (COMM) 312/26
Rajiv Solanki Vs. Anu Miglani

03.06.2026

Present: Sh. N.K. Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for the plaintiff.
Sh. Sushil Tekriwal, Ld. counsel for the defendant,
who is appearing online on CISCO Webex on behalf
of the defendant.
One Sh. Ram Parvesh, from the office of the Ld.
counsel for the defendant is also present, who has
filed on record an application under Section 8 of the
Arbitration & Conciliation Act.

Copy thereof stands already supplied to the Ld.
counsel for the plaintiff.

Ld. counsel for the plaintiff states that he does not
want to file on record any reply to the above said application of the
defendant and is ready to address the arguments in the above said
application without filing any reply thereto.

Arguments have been heard on the application under
Section 8 of the Arbitration & Conciliation Act, which have been
addressed by both the parties at length.

Ld. counsel for the defendant states that he wants to
file on record the arguments in writing in support of the application
under Section 8 of Arbitration & Conciliation Act.

In these circumstances, both the parties are granted
the liberty to file on record the arguments in writing, with advance
copies thereof to each other, well in time prior to the date of the
orders.

To come up for clarifications, if any / orders on **04.07.2026**. The date in the month of July 2026 has been given as there are Summer Vacations in the month of June 2026 and certain other matters have been listed for orders.

RAJ KUMAR
District Judge (Commercial Court)-01,
(SW)-Dwarka Courts, Delhi
03.06.2026