

IN THE COURT OF MS. NISHA SAHAY SAXENA
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE
NORTH-WEST DISTRICT: ROHINI COURTS: DELHI

Bail Application of applicant/accused Rajeshwari Rani

CNR No. DLNW01-013199-2025

SC 845-25

FIR No. 387/25

PS Shalimar Bagh

U/s. 109(1)/127(2)/238(c)/3(5) BNS & 25/27 Arms Act

State v. Rajeshwari Rani & Ors.

27.11.2025

Present: Sh. P.K. Samadhiya, Ld. Additional Public Prosecutor
for State.

Ms. Babita Rani, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused
Rajeshwari Rani.

Arguments addressed on bail application.

(Bail Order)

Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused submitted that the applicant is in JC since 24.06.2025; she is aged about 40 years and is a widow lady; she is the sole bread earner of her family having three children (minor daughter, her son is suffering from mental diseases and daughter aged about 19 years i.e. co-accused Kashish who has also been granted bail on 18.07.2025); another co-accused Vikas has also been granted bail on 21.07.2025; the matter has been settled between complainant and the accused; the role assigned to the applicant/accused is similar and identical to the co-accused, who has already been granted bail; the applicant/accused has no role in the present case; applicant/accused has been falsely implicated in the present case

due to previous enmity and misconception; investigation against the applicant/accused has been completed in the present case; charge sheet has been filed; and applicant/ accused is no more required for further custodial interrogation.

2. Ld. Addl. PP for State opposed the bail and submitted that applicant/accused concealed the firearm under the sofa inside her house, from which co-accused Nishant @ Nishu fired upon the victim; the weapon was later recovered at her instance; CCTV DVR was also recovered at the instance of applicant/accused; the CCTV footage shows the firing instance by co-accused Nishant, applicant/accused Rajeshwari holding the pistol, co-accused Vikas taking the pistol from her; accused persons confining the injured inside the room and attempting to facilitate escape of accused Nishant. It is further submitted by Ld. Addl. PP that case property is yet to be examined by FSL and the final MLC result is pending.

3. The facts of the case are that on 23.06.2025 a PCR call regarding a gunshot injury was received at Police Station Shalimar Bagh, upon which the police reached the spot i.e. H.No. 296, 5th Floor, Ambedkar Nagar, Haiderpur, where blood and two empty cartridges were found; it was revealed that injured Yavinder had sustained a firearm injury; during sustained interrogation, applicant/accused Rajeshwari disclosed that co-accused Nishant @ Nishu, who frequently visited her house, fired upon the victim; she further admitted that she took the firearm from co-accused Nishant @ Nishu and concealed it under

the sofa inside her house; the weapon was later recovered at her instance; CCTV DVR was also recovered at the instance of applicant/accused Rajeshwari, who had initially concealed it; CCTV footage clearly shows co-accused Nishant firing, applicant/accused Rajeshwari holding the pistol, co-accused Vikas taking the pistol from her, accused persons confining the injured inside the room and attempting to facilitate escape of accused Nishant; the offences involved are grave in nature including firearm-related offences and serious bodily injury; the case property is yet to be examined at FSL and the final MLC result is pending, which is crucial for the investigation; if applicant/accused is released on bail, she is likely to tamper with crucial evidence, influence key witnesses including her own daughters who are material witnesses; disturb the course of trial, given her direct involvement in concealment of evidence earlier as well; the investigation has revealed that applicant/accused acted in criminal conspiracy with other co-accused. Accused as well as the injured are the residents of the same vicinity and are known to each other since before; no medical document with respect to the mental diseases of the son of applicant/accused has been filed on record; and applicant/accused is also involved in four more criminal cases, details of which are as follows:

Sl.No.	FIR No.	Police Station	Under Section
1	444/2024	Bhalswa Dairy	21/25/29 NDPS Act
2	90/2024	Shalimar Bagh	33 Delhi Excise Act
3	40/2025	Crime Branch	21/25 NDPS Act
4	444/2020	Shalimar Bagh	21/61/85 NDPS Act

4. The applicant/accused cannot seek any parity with co-

accused Vikas and Kashish, as Kashish is the daughter of applicant/accused Rajeshwari, who is 19 years of age and pursuing 12th from Open School while accused Vikas is also pursuing B.A. from Delhi University and his career would have been affected had he been sent to custody. However, applicant/accused Rajeshwari is actively involved in NDPS cases and was clearly seen holding pistol in the CCTV footage retrieved. There is likelihood of her tampering with the crucial evidence and influencing key witnesses including her own daughter if bail is granted to applicant/accused Rajeshwari.

5. Keeping in view the gravity of the offence, the case is at its threshold when arguments on charge are yet to be addressed and the accused may tamper with the evidence, no ground for bail is made out. The bail application is, accordingly, dismissed.

6. List on the date already fixed i.e. **10.12.2025** for arguments on charge.

7. Copy of the order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent, for information. Copy of the order be also given dasti.

(Nisha Sahay Saxena)
Principal District & Sessions Judge (NW)
Rohini Courts, Delhi/27.11.2025/sb