

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.P.Ramachandran, B.Sc., B.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge (i/c)
Chengalpattu.

Date: Tuesday, the 11th day of August 2026

Crl.M.P. No.2501 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-004960-2026)

1. Manikandan, aged about 27 years, S/o.Chellamuthu,
2. Elumalai, aged about 43 years, S/o.Chellamuthu,

Both are residing at:

No.14, Mariyamman Kovil Street, Venmalagaram,
Sirumailoor, Chengalpattu District.

.. Petitioners/accused

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by Inspector of Police,
Chunambedu Police Station

Cr. No.108 of 2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

U/s.296(b), 115(1), 118(2) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023, altered into Sec.296(b),
191(2), 191(3), 115(1), 118(2), 351(3), 109 of BNS, 2023

This petition for anticipatory bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.E.Rajan Gandhi, the learned counsel is appearing for the petitioner/accused and M/s.V.Krishnamoorthy, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following:

O R D E R

This petition is filed U/s.482 of B.N.S.S. by the petitioner/accused praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

2. The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of respondent police for the alleged offences U/s.296(b), 115(1), 118(2) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023, altered into Sec.296(b), 191(2), 191(3), 115(1), 118(2), 351(3), 109 of BNS, 2023 in connection with Cr. No.108 of 2026 of respondent Police Station.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and oral reply submitted. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that, the petitioners are innocent persons, they are no way connected with the said offence; it is the case in counter to Cr. No.109 of 2026; the injured person was discharged from hospital; the co-accused have been granted bail by this court in Crl.M.P. No.2502 of 2026 dated 07.08..2026; moreover, the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon them and they are ready to offer substantial sureties before the court and praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, would submit that, it is the case in counter to the Cr. No.109 of 2026. In continuation to the wordy quarrel which took place in a death ceremony, the petitioners along with other accused have abused the defacto complainant and his friend, assaulted him with woodenlog, caused injuries and also threatened with dire consequences and prays for the dismissal of the petition. However, he fairly admits that the injured person has been discharged from hospital.

6. It is seen that the occurrence has taken place on 07.07.2026. It is further seen case and counter case have been registered for the occurrence. It is submitted that the injured person discharged from hospital. It is further seen substantial portion of investigation has been completed. The petitioners seemed to be the first offenders, no previous case is pending against them. Taking into consideration the nature of allegations levelled against the petitioners, injured person was discharged from the hospital, the co-accused granted bail by this court and considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. In the result, this petition is allowed; The petitioners, in the event of arrest or on their surrender before the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Cheyyur within 15 days, are ordered to be released on bail on their execution of bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Cheyyur, with further condition that,

1. The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression (LTI) in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce any one of identity proof before the learned Magistrate to ensure their identity.
2. The petitioners/accused is directed to report before the respondent police station daily at 10.30 A.M. for a period of 30 days;
3. The petitioners should comply with the conditions contemplated U/s.482 (2) (i), (ii) and (iii) B.N.S.S.;
4. The petitioners/accused shall not tamper with the evidences or hamper the investigation in any manner;
5. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision ***AIR 2006 S.C. 100 – P.K. Shaji vs State of Kerala.***

Pronounced by me in open court, on this the 11th day of August 2026.

(Sd/-) P.Ramachandran
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

To
The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Cheyyur.
The Inspector of Police, Chunambedu Police Station.