

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.P.Ramachandran, B.Sc., B.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge (i/c)
Chengalpattu.

Date: Friday, the 07th day of August 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.2502 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-004959-2026)

1. Arun Kumar, aged about 26 years, S/o.Arumugam,
 2. Moorthy, aged about 36 years, S/o.Gurunadhan,
- .. Petitioners/Accused.

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Chunambedu Police Station,
Cr. No.108 of 2026 .. Respondent/Complainant
U/s.296(b), 115(1), 118(2), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 @ into Sec.296(b),
191(2), 191(3), 115(1), 118(2), 351(3), 109 of BNS, 2023.

// and //

Manonmani, W/o.Saravanan .. intervenor/mother of victim
victim in CMP No.2599 of 2026

This petition for interim bail coming on this day for final hearing before me, M/s.E.Rajan Gandhi, the learned counsel is appearing for the Petitioners/accused, M/s.V.Krishnamoorthy, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, and of M/s.J.Dilliraj, the learned counsel appearing for the intervenor, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

ORDER

The petition in Crl.M.P. No.2502 of 2026 has been filed by the petitioners/accused U/s.480 & 483 of BNSS, 2023 praying bail to the petitioners, in connection with Cr. No.108 of 2026 of Chunambedu Police

Station, which was registered for the alleged offences U/s.296(b), 115(1), 118(2), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 @ into Sec.296(b), 191(2), 191(3), 115(1), 118(2), 351(3), 109 of BNS, 2023, however, the applicaton in CrI.M.P. No.2599 of 2026 has been filed in order to object to grant bail to the petitioners.

2. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the oral reply submitted. Heard both sides; records perused.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners were falsely implicated in this case; they were arrested and remanded on 14.07.2026; already the 2nd petitioner has been granted interim bail for four days, by this court as per the order dated 27.07.2026, in order to attend the L.L.B. 4th Semester examination; subsequently, on 31.07.2026, the 2nd petitioner was surrendered before the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Cheyyur; now the 1st petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 25 days, and the 2nd petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 21 days; the injured person has been discharged from the hospital; moreover, the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon them and they are ready to offer substantial sureties before the court, thereby, praying to grant bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, would submit that, in continuation to the wordy quarrel which took place in a death ceremony, the petitioners along with other accused have abused the defacto complainant and his friend, assaulted him with woodenlog, caused injuries and also threatened with dire consequences and prays for the dismissal of the petition. However, he fairly admits that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital.

5. The learned counsel who is appearing for the intervenor/victim made his arguments in consonance with the arguments made by the learned Public Prosecutor and vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioners.

6. It is seen, in continuation to the dispute arose in a death ceremony, the petitioners along with other accused have assaulted the defacto complainant with log thereby caused injuries. It is seen, the occurrence has taken place on 07.07.2026 and the accused are in custody from 14.07.2026. The learned Public Prosecutor admitted that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital. It is further seen, substantial portion of investigation has been completed. The petitioners seemed to be the first offenders, no previous case is pending against them. Taking into consideration the duration of custody, the injured person has been discharged from the hospital, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioners and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

7. In the result, this petition is allowed; the petitioners/accused are ordered to be released on bail on their execution of bond for Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Cheyyur, with further conditions that;

1. The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression (LTI) in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce any one of identity proof before the learned Magistrate to ensure their identity.
2. The petitioners/accused are directed to report before the respondent police station daily at 10.30 A.M. for 30 days;

3. The petitioners/accused shall not tamper the evidence either during investigation or trial;
4. The petitioners/accused shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;
5. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision in ***AIR 2006 SC 100 - P.K. Shaji Vs State of Kerala.***

Pronounced by me in open Court, on this the 07th day of August, 2026.

(Sd/-) P.Ramachandran

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.(i/c)

To

The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Cheyyur.

The Inspector of Police, Chunambedu Police Station.

The Superintendent, District Jail, Chengalpattu.