

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Monday, the 20th day of July 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.2496 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-004958-2026)

P.Vinoth, aged 40 years, S/o.Palani,

.. Petitioner/Accused.

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Kanathur Police Station,

Cr. No.105 of 2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

U/s.332(b), 296(b), 115(2), 109(1), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, 2023,
r/w. Sec.4 TN Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002.

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.A.Jayavel, the learned counsel is appearing for the petitioner/accused and of M/s.V.Krishnamoorthy, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

O R D E R

This petition is filed U/s.483 of BNSS 2023, seeking to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The petitioner/accused is facing charges for the alleged offences U/s.332(b), 296(b), 115(2), 109(1), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, 2023, r/w. Sec.4 TN Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the reply

received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused would submit that the petitioner is falsely implicated in this case; he was arrested and remanded on 17.06.2026; the earlier bail application was dismissed by this court in CrI.M.P. No.2190 of 2026 dated 07.07.2026; now, the petitioner is under judicial custody for the past 34 days; the injured person has been discharged from hospital; moreover the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and is ready to offer substantial sureties before the Court, thereby praying for enlargement on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, strongly objected to grant bail to the petitioner stating that the victim is none else the wife of this petitioner. Since misunderstanding arose between them, the victim filed application for divorce before the competent court. Further, the petitioner already attacked the victim's mother with knife and that a case is pending before the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Sholinganallur. While so, on 15.06.2026, at 05.30 A.M. in the morning, the petitioner entered into the victim's house, attacked her with knife. Since her mother and her son came to her rescue, the accused also attacked her son thereby causing injuries to him. Further, the petitioner is involved in one another previous case and prays for the dismissal of the petition.

6. Cursory perusal of records would go to show that the occurrence has taken place on 15.06.2026 and the accused is in custody from 17.06.2026. The contention raised by the learned Public Prosecutor is that if the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he will threaten the witness and tamper the evidences. It reveals from the records that already the petitioner has

attacked the very same victim (his wife) with knife and that a case is pending before the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Sholinganallur. Taking into consideration the gravity of the offence, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner, conduct and previous antecedent of the petitioner/accused and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court dismissed the earlier bail application in CrI.M.P. No.2190 of 2026 only on 07.07.2026, this court does not find any material change of circumstances with the earlier bail application. **Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.**

Pronounced by me in the open Court on this the 20th day of July 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.