

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Monday, the 27th day of July 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.2499 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-004950-2026)

Vinoth, aged about 26 years, S/o.Velu, .. Petitioner/Accused.

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Orathy Police Station,
Cr. No.19 of 2026
U/s.331(4), 305 of BNS, 2023.

.. Respondent/Complainant

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.E.Surya Prabakaran, the learned counsel is appearing for the petitioner/accused and of M/s.V.Krishnamoorthy, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

O R D E R

This petition is filed U/s.483 of BNSS 2023, praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The petitioner/accused is facing charges for the alleged offences punishable U/s.331(4), 305 of BNS, 2023.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the reply received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused would submit that the petitioner is falsely implicated in this case; he was arrested and

remanded on 16.04.2026; the earlier bail application was dismissed by the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Madurantakam in CrI.M.P. No..596 of 2026 dated 22.06.2026; now the petitioner is under judicial custody for the past 103 days; the investigation officer has filed the Final Report before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Madurantakam, case has been numbered as C.C. No.530 of 2026; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the Court, thereby praying for enlargement on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, would submit that it is the case of temple theft. During night hours, the petitioner along with other accused have entered into the temple by break open the door and stolen a sum of Rs.5,000/- from the Hundi. He would further submitted that the petitioner is the suspected history sheeter, involved in four other previous cases and prays for the dismissal of the petition.

6. From the perusal of records, it appears that the occurrence has taken place 25.02.2026 and the petitioner has been arrested on 16.04.2026. Total period of custody exceeds 103 days. It is submitted that the investigating officer has filed the Final Report and the case was taken on file as C.C. No.530 of 2026 and the petitiioenr is facing trial. Under such circumstances, this court is of the considered view that keeping the petitioner into prison will not serve any purpose for the investigating agency. The petitioner has permanent residence at Marriyamman Koil Street, Kalathur Village, Madurantakam Taluk, therefore, there is no possibility of flee from justice. Considering the duration of custody, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner, Final Report has been filed, case has been taken on file as C.C. No.530 of 2026 and also considering the

overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. In the result, this petition is allowed; The petitioner/accused is ordered to be released on bail on his execution of bond for Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Madurantakam, with further conditions that;

1. The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression (LTI) in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce any one of identity proof before the learned Magistrate to ensure their identity.
2. **The petitioner/accused is directed to report before the Judicial Magistrate No.I, Madurantakam daily at 10.30 A.M. for 30 days;**
3. The petitioner/accused shall not tamper the evidence either during investigation or trial;
4. The petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;
5. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision in *AIR 2006 SC 100 - P.K. Shaji Vs State of Kerala*.

Pronounced by me in the open Court, on this the 27th day of July 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Madurantakam.
The Inspector of Police, Orathy Police Station.
The Superintendent, District Jail, Chengalpattu.