

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Wednesday, the 05th day of August 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.2494 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-004947-2026)

Mohanmed Syed, aged 23 years,
S/o. Mohamed Sallim,

.. Petitioner/Accused.

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Selaiyur Police Station,
Cr. No.403 of 2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

U/s.115(2), 125, 126(2), 296(b), 311, 324(2), 351(3) of BNS, 2023

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.R.Authiram, the learned counsel is appearing for the petitioner/accused and of M/s.V.Krishnamoorthy, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s.483 of BNSS, 2023, seeking to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The petitioner/accused has been charged for the alleged offences U/s.115(2), 125, 126(2), 296(b), 311, 324(2), 351(3) of BNS, 2023.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the reply received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case; he was arrested and remanded on 08.07.2026 and he is under custody for the past 21 days; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed

upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the court, thereby prayed for enlargement on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, strongly objected to grant bail to the petitioner stating that the defacto complainant is running tiffin shop in a push-cart. On 07.07.2026 at 09.30 P.M., the petitioner along with other accused have came into his shop and have tiffin. While the defacto complainant asked money for the same, the accused persons abused him with filthy words, beaten him, placed a knife on his stomach thereby putting him in fear, caught hold him and robbed a sum of Rs.750/- from his pocket, threatened him with dire consequences and fled away. He would further submit that the petitioner is the habitual offender, involved in three other previous cases and prays for the dismissal of the petition.

6. It is alleged that the petitioner along with other accused have extorted a sum of Rs.750/- from the defacto complainant, at knife point. It is the settled principle of Law while considering the bail application of an accused, his previous antecedents must also been taken into account. It is pleaded by the learend Public Prosecutor that the petitioner is the habitual offender, involved in three other previous cases. Taking into consideration the gravity of the offence, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner/accused, the petitioner seemed to be the habitual offender, involved in three other previous cases and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. **Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.**

Pronounced by me in open Court, on this the 05th day of August 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.