

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Dated: Tuesday, the 18th day of August 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.2498 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-004944-2026)

Palayam, aged 45 years, S/o.Mani, .. Petitioner/Accused.

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Perumbakkam Police Station,

Cr. No.255 of 2026 .. Respondent/Complainant
U/s.8(c), 22(b) and 29(1) of NDPS Act r/w. Sec.77 of the Juvenile Justice
(Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015.

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.G.Jayaraman, the learned counsel is appearing for the petitioner/accused and of M/s.V.Krishnamoorthy, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and perusal of case records, this Court made the following

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s.480 and 483 of BNSS 2023, praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The petitioner/accused is facing charged for the alleged offences U/s.8(c), 22(b) and 29(1) of NDPS Act r/w. Sec.77 of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the reply received. Heard both sides. Records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is falsely implicated in this case; he was arrested and remanded on 03.07.2026 and he is in judicial custody for the past 47 days; the co-accused

has been granted bail by this court in CrI.M.P. No.2685 of 2026 dated 11.08.2026; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and ready to offer substantial sureties before the court and thereby prayed for enlargement on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, strongly objected to grant bail to the petitioner by stating that based on secret information, on 02.07.2026 at 08.50 A.M. while the respondent police intercepted the petitioner along with other accused, the petitioner has been fled from the SOC; the other accused was found in possession of 55 Nos. of *Nitrazepam* Tablets and 40 Nos. of *Tapendatol* Tablets which are used as seducing substances, in order to sell the contrabands to the school students and others. He further submitted that the petitioner is a habitual offender, involved in eight other previous cases and prays for the dismissal of the petition.

6. It is alleged that while the petitioner along with other accused have tried to sell *Nitrazepam* Tablets *Tapendatol* Tablets which are used as seducing substances, to the school students. It is the contention of the learned Public Prosecutor that the petitioner is the habitual offender, involved in eight previous cases. Though the co-accused was granted bail by this court, taking into consideration of the gravity of the offence, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner, previous antecedents of the petitioner/accused and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. **Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.**

Pronounced by me in open Court, on this the 18th day of August 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.