

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Thursday, the 30th day of July 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.2497 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-004938-2026)

Kabilan.T, aged 32 years, S/o.Tamilselvan, .. Petitioner/Accused.
//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
J-9, Thuraipakkam Police Station,
Cr. No.308 of 2026 .. Respondent/Complainant
U/s.77, 75(1) of BNS, 2023 r/w. Sec.66(E) of I.T. Act and
Sec.4 of TNPHW Act, 2002.

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.Suresh.T, the learned counsel is appearing for the petitioner/accused and of M/s.V.Krishnamoorthy, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s.483 of BNSS 2023, praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The petitioner/accused is facing charges for the alleged offences U/s.191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 324(2), 311, 125, 351(3) of BNS, 2023.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the reply received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused would submit that the petitioner is falsely implicated in this case; he was arrested and

remanded on 13.07.2026 and he is in judicial custody for the past 18 days; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the Court, thereby praying for enlargement on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, submitted that the petitioner is running a PG ladies hostel, where the victim was staying. On 12.07.2026 in the evening hours, while the victim was taking bath, the petitioner/accused has taken videograph in a cellphone through a small hole. He would further submit that requisition has been given to send the cellphone for forensic lab examination, and the investigation is not yet completed. At this stage, if the petitioner is released on bail, he will tamper with the evidences and hence, he prays for the dismissal of the petition.

6. It is submitted by the learned Public Prosecutor that requisition has been given to send the cellphone for forensic lab examination. It is further submitted that the investigation is not yet completed, if the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he will tamper with the evidences. Taking into consideration the gravity of the offence, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner, the investigation seemed to be not yet completed and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. **Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.**

Pronounced by me in the open Court, on this the 30th day of July 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.