

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND  
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru. P. Ramachandran, B.Sc., B.L.,  
Principal District and Sessions Judge (i/c)  
Chengalpattu.

Date: Friday, the 07<sup>th</sup> day of August 2026

**Crl.M.P. No.2500 of 2026**  
***(CNR No. TNCG01-004927-2026)***

Lakshmanan, aged about 32 years, S/o.Thulukanam,  
No.61, Kamarajar Nagar, Mamandur Village,  
Madurantakam Taluk, Chengalpattu District.

.. Petitioner/accused

**//Versus//**

State of Tamilnadu,  
Rep. by Inspector of Police,  
Padalam Police Station

Cr. No.246 of 2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

U/s.296(b), 329(4), 115(2), 351(2) of BNS, 2023 r/w. Sec.4 of TNPHW Act.

This petition for anticipatory bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.D.Purushothaman, the learned counsel is appearing for the petitioner/accused, M/s.V.Krishnamoorthy, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following:

**ORDER**

This petition is filed U/s.482 of B.N.S.S. by the petitioner/accused praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

2. The petitioner apprehend arrest at the hands of respondent police for the offences U/s. 296(b), 329(4), 115(2), 351(2) of BNS, 2023 r/w. Sec.4 of TNPHW Act in connection with Cr. No.246 of 2026 of respondent Police Station.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the oral

reply submitted. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that, the petitioner is innocent person, he is no way connected with the said offence; the injured person has been discharged from hospital; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the court and praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, would submit that though the investigation officer has been duly intimated, he neither failed to file his written objection or appear before the court. However, he made his formal objection. He would further submit that, upon enquiry, the investigation officer has stated that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital.

6. From the submissions, it is seen the petitioner trespassed into the victim's house, abused her with filthy words, assaulted her and caused injuries and also threatened with dire consequences. The learned Public Prosecutor submits that the injured person has been discharged from hospital. Except the offence U/s.4 of TNPHW Act, other offences are bailable in nature. Since the petitioner has permanent residence within the jurisdiction of the respondent police, there is no possibility of flee from justice. Taking into consideration of the nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner, the injured person has been discharged from the hospital and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

**7. In the result, this petition is allowed;** The petitioner, in the event of arrest or on his surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II,

Madurantakam within 15 days, is ordered to be released on bail on his execution of bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the same Magistrate, with further condition that,

1. The sureties shall affix his photographs and Left Thumb Impression (LTI) in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce copy of any identity proof before the learned Magistrate to ensure his identity.
2. The petitioner/accused is directed to report before the respondent police station daily at 10.30 A.M. for a period of 15 days;
3. The petitioner should comply with the conditions contemplated U/s.482 (2) (i), (ii) and (iii) B.N.S.S.;
4. The petitioner/accused shall not tamper with the evidences or hamper the investigation in any manner;
5. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision ***AIR 2006 S.C. 100 – P.K. Shaji vs State of Kerala.***

***Pronounced by me in the open court, on this the 07<sup>th</sup> day of August 2026.***

**(Sd/-) P.Ramachandran**  
Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Chengalpattu.

To  
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Madurantakam,  
The Inspector of Police, Padalam Police Station.