

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND  
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,  
Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Chengalpattu.

Date: Tuesday, the 28<sup>th</sup> day of July 2026.

**Crl.M.P. No.2491 of 2026**  
**(CNR No. TNCG01-004890-2026)**

Dhanasekar, aged about 41 years,  
S/o.Kuppan @ Kuppusamy,

.. Petitioner/Accused.

**//Versus//**

State of Tamilnadu,  
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,  
Kayar Police Station,  
Cr. No.69 of 2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

U/s.115(2), 126(2), 296(b), 309(4), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 r/w. Sec.25(1)(a)  
ARMS Act.

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.K.Kumaresan, the learned counsel is appearing for the petitioner/accused and M/s.V.Krishnamoorthy, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

**O R D E R**

This petition is filed U/s.483 of BNSS 2023, seeking to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

**2.** The petitioner/accused is facing charges for the alleged offences punishable U/s.115(2), 126(2), 296(b), 309(4), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 r/w. Sec.25(1)(a) ARMS Act..

**3.** Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and reply received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused would submit that the petitioner is falsely implicated in this case; he was arrested and remanded on 31.05.2026; the earlier bail application was dismissed by this court in CrI.M.P. No.2178 of 2026 dated 07.07.2026; now the petitioner is under judicial custody for the past 56 days; moreover the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and is ready to offer substantial sureties before the Court, thereby praying for enlargement on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, would submit that the defacto complainant is running a scrap shop. On 31.05.2026 at 06.00 A.M. in the morning, the petitioner came into his shop, wrongfully restrained him and demanded money. Since he refused, the petitioner abused him with filthy words, tried to attack him with knife, extorted a sum of Rs.350/- from him at knife point, threatened him with dire consequences. Further, the petitioner is involved in seven other previous cases and prays for the dismissal of the petition.

6. Cursory perusal of records would go to show that the occurrence has taken place on 31.05.2026 and the accused is in judicial custody from same day. Total period of custody exceeds 56 days. It is seen, substantial portion of investigation has been completed. The petitioner has permanent residence therefore, there is no possibility of flee from justice. Taking into consideration the duration of custody, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner, substantial portion of investigation has been completed and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

**7. In the result, this petition is allowed;** The petitioner/accused is

ordered to be released on bail on his execution of bond for Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Thiruporur, with further conditions that,

1. The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression (LTI) in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce copy of any identity proof before the learned Magistrate to ensure his identity;
2. The petitioner/accused is directed to report before the respondent police station daily at 10.30 A.M. for a period of 30 days;
3. The petitioner/accused shall not tamper the evidence either during investigation or trial;
4. The petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;
5. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision in ***AIR 2006 SC 100 - P.K. Shaji Vs State of Kerala.***

***Pronounced by me in open Court, on this the 28<sup>th</sup> day of July 2026.***

Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Chengalpattu.

**To**

The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Thiruporur.

The Inspector of Police, Kayar Police Station.

The Superintendent, District Jail, Chengalpattu.