

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Thursday, the 06th day of August 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.2429 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-004867-2026)

1. Bala @ Balakrishnan, aged about 38 years, S/o. Kali,
2. Paramasivam, aged about 20 years, S/o. Mari,

.. Petitioners/Accused.

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Thuraipakkam Police Station,
Cr. No.276 of 2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

U/s.296(b), 126(2), 115(2), 324(3), 308(2), 351(3) @ into 311 of BNS, 2023

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.K.Sundaramoorthy, the learned counsel is appearing for the petitioners/accused and of M/s.V.Krishnamoorthy, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s.483 of BNSS, 2023, seeking to enlarge the petitioners on bail.

2. The petitioners/accused has been charged for the alleged offences punishable U/s.296(b), 126(2), 115(2), 324(3), 308(2), 351(3) @ into 311 of BNS, 2023.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the oral reply submitted. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. While the case was heard on 03.08.2026, the petition in respect of

the 2nd petitioner was dismissed as he was detained under Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The petition for the 1st petitioner stands posted on today, 06.08.2026.

5. Today, the learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioner No.1 has been falsely implicated in this case; he was arrested and remanded on 03.07.2026 and he is in judicial custody for the past 35 days; moreover, the petitioners No.1 is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the court, thereby prayed for enlargement on bail.

6. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, would submit that the defacto complainant is the push-cart vendor. On the occurrence day at night hours, the 1st petitioner along with other accused wrongfully restrained the defacto complainant and demanded money. Since he opposed and questioned the same, the accused persons abused him with filthy words, slapped him, put him into fear by brandishing knife, robbed a sum of Rs.1,200/- from him at knife point, threatened him and fled away and prays for the dismissal of the petition.

7. From the submissions and records, it is seen the occurrence has taken place on 02.07.2026 and the petitioner No.1 is in custody from 03.07.2026. Total period of custody exceeds 35 days. It is seen substantial portion of investigation has been completed. Since the petitioner has permanent residence at No.173, Valluvar Nagar, 1st Street, Thiruvannamiyur, there is no possibility of flee from justice. Taking into consideration of the duration of custody, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner, stage of investigation and considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant bail to **the petitioner No.1 alone.**

8. In the result, this petition in respect of the petitioner No.1 is allowed; the petitioner/accused No.1 alone is ordered to be released on bail on his execution of bond for Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Alandur, with further conditions that;

1. The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression (LTI) in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce any one of identity proof before the learned Magistrate to ensure their identity.
2. The petitioner/accused No.1 is directed to report before the respondent police station daily at 10.30 A.M. for 30 days;
3. The petitioner/accused No.1 shall not tamper the evidence either during investigation or trial;
4. The petitioner/accused No.1 shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;
5. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision in ***AIR 2006 SC 100 - P.K. Shaji Vs State of Kerala.***

Pronounced by me in the open Court, on this the 06th day of August 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Alandur.
The Inspector of Police, Thuraipakkam Police Station.
The Superintendent, Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai.