

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Tuesday, the 14th day of July 2026

Crl.M.P. No.2412 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-004814-2026)

1. Magalakshmi, aged 49 years, W/o.Kanagaraj
2. Kanagaraj, aged 51 years, S/o.Varadhan

Both are residing at:

No.21/645, Puducolony, Bukkathurai,
Maduranthagam Taluk, Chengalpattu 603 308.

.. Petitioners/accused

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by Inspector of Police,
Padalam Police Station

Cr. No.238 of 2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

U/s.296(b), 351(2) of BNS, 2023 r/w Sec.4 of TN PHW Act.

This petition for anticipatory bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.J.Dilliraj, the learned counsel is appearing for the petitioners/accused and of M/s.V.Krishnamoorthy, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s.482 of B.N.S.S. by the petitioners/accused praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

2. The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of respondent police for the offences U/s.296(b), 351(2) of BNS, 2023 r/w Sec.4 of TN PHW Act in connection with the Cr. No.238 of 2026 of respondent Police Station.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and reply received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that, the petitioners are innocent persons, they are no way connected with the said offence; the co-accused has been granted bail by the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Madhurantakam; moreover, the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon them and they are ready to offer substantial sureties before the court and praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, would submit that the defacto complainant and the petitioners are neighbours. Due to pathway dispute, the petitioners along with other accused have abused the defacto complainant and her family members with filthy language and also threatened them with dire consequences. Further more, the accused have abused the defacto complainant's daughter in the presence of public, due to which, her daughter consumed poison and that she admitted in the hospital and prays for the dismissal of the petition. However, he fairly admits that the victim has been discharged from hospital.

6. It is seen the occurrence has taken place on 07.07.2026. The learned Public Prosecutor admitted that the victim has been discharged from the hospital. Except the offence U/s.4 of TNPHW Act, other offences are bailable in nature. The petitioners seemed to be the first offenders, no previous case is pending against them. They have permanent residence within the jurisdiction of the respondent police, therefore no possibility of flee from justice. Taking into consideration the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory to the petitioners.

7. In the result, this petition is allowed; The petitioners, in the event of arrest or on their surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Maduranthagam within 15 days, are ordered to be released on bail on their execution of bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the same Magistrate with further condition that,

1. The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression (LTI) in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce any one of identity proof before the learned Magistrate to ensure their identity.
2. The petitioners/accused are directed to report before the respondent police station daily at 10.30 A.M. for a period of 15 days;
3. The petitioners should comply with the conditions contemplated U/s.482 (2) (i), (ii) and (iii) B.N.S.S.;
4. The petitioners/accused shall not tamper with the evidences or hamper the investigation in any manner;
5. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision ***AIR 2006 S.C. 100 – P.K. Shaji vs State of Kerala.***

Pronounced by me in the open court, on this the 14th day of July 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Maduranthagam.
The Inspector of Police, Padalam Police Station.