

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Tuesday, the 14th day of July 2026

Crl.M.P. No.2410 of 2026
(CNR No.TNCG01-004808-2026)

1. Aadithya, aged about 21 years, S/o.Prabhu,
No.59, Nagathamman Kovil street,
Veeranakunnam, Madhurandhagam
2. Nirosha, aged about 23 years, D/o.Prabhu
No.58/1, Nagathamman Kovil street,
Veeranakunnam, Madhurandhagam
3. Balaji, aged about 29 years, S/o.Raja
No.3, Veeranakunnam, Madhurandhagam
Chengalpattu district.

.. Petitioners/accused

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by Inspector of Police,
Madhurandhagam Police Station
Cr. No.343 of 2026
U/s.296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 r/w Sec.4 of TNPHW Act.

.. Respondent/Complainant

This petition for anticipatory bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.K.Sundaramoorthy, the learned counsel is appearing for the petitioners/accused and M/s.V.Krishnamoorthy, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s.482 of B.N.S.S. by the petitioner/accused praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

2. The petitioner apprehend arrest at the hands of respondent police for

the offences U/s.296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 r/w Sec.4 of TNPHW Act in connection with the Cr. No.343 of 2026 of respondent Police.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and reply received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that, the petitioners are innocent persons, they are no way connected with the said offence; the injured persons have been discharged from hospital; moreover, the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon them and they are ready to offer substantial sureties before the court and praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, would submit that in continuation to the dispute took place in a temple festival, the petitioners abused the defacto complainant's husband with filthy words, assaulted him and his relatives with wooden log and caused injuries threatened him with dire consequences and prays for the dismissal of the petitioners. He would further submitted that the injured person has been discharged from hospital.

6. cursory perusal of records would go to show that the occurrence has taken place on 07.07.2026. It is submitted by the learned Public Prosecutor that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital, therefore, substantial portion of investigation ought to have been completed. Except the offence U/s.118(1) of BNS, 2023 and Sec.4 of TNPHW Act other offences are bailable in nature. The petitioners have permanent residence within the jurisdiction of the respondent police station, therefore, there is no possibility of flee from justice. They seemed to be the first offenders, no previous case is pending against them. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case and also the injured person has been discharged

from the hospital, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory to the petitioners.

7. In the result, this petition is allowed; The petitioners, in the event of arrest or on their surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Madhurandhagam within 15 days, are ordered to be released on bail on their execution of bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the same Magistrate with further condition that,

1. The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression (LTI) in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce any one of identity proof before the learned Magistrate to ensure their identity.
2. The petitioners/accused are directed to report before the respondent police station daily at 10.30 A.M. for a period of 15 days;
3. The petitioners should comply with the conditions contemplated U/s.482 (2) (i), (ii) and (iii) B.N.S.S.;
4. The petitioners/accused shall not tamper with the evidences or hamper the investigation in any manner;
5. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision *AIR 2006 S.C. 100 – P.K. Shaji vs State of Kerala.*

Pronounced by me in the open court, on this the 14th day of July 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Madhurandhagam,
The Inspector of Police, Madhurandhagam Police Station.