

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Tuesday, the 14th day of July 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.2405 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-004794-2026)

Raveen Babu, aged 19 years, S/o.Ramesh,

.. Petitioner/Accused.

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Medavakkam Police Station,
Cr. No.93 of 2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

U/s.123 of BNS, 2023 r/w. Sec.77 of Juvenile Justice Act, 2015

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.M.Prithvirajan, the learned counsel is appearing for petitioner/accused and of M/s.V.Krishnamoorthy, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

O R D E R

This petition is filed U/s.483 of BNSS 2023, seeking to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The petitioner/accused has been charged for the alleged offences U/s.123 of BNS, 2023 r/w. Sec.77 of Juvenile Justice Act, 2015.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and reply received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the

petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case; he was arrested and remanded on 06.06.2026; the earlier bail application was dismissed by this court in Crl.M.P. No.2012 of 2026 dated 03.07.2026; now the petitioner is under judicial custody for the past 39 days; even on the date of arrest of the petitioner, the respondent police has foisted yet another case against the very same petitioner; as far as the present case is concerned, the co-accused have been granted bail by this court in Crl.M.P. No.1457 of 2026 dated 07.05.2026 and 1597 of 2026 dated 21.05.2026; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the court, thereby prayed for enlargement on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, strongly objected to grant bail to the petitioner stating that based upon the secret information, while the respondent police intercepted one Rajkumar, he was found in possession of 300 Nos. of Tapendatol tablets - which is used as seducing substances, for the purpose of selling others including the school students. Based on the confession statement of the other accused, this petitioner has been arrested. That apart, the petitioner is involved in two other previous cases and prays for the dismissal of the petition.

6. It is alleged that the petitioner along with other accused have sell Tapendatol tablets - which is a seducing substances. It is further alleged that the petitioner is found in possession of Tapendatol tablets. Though the co-accused have been granted bail by this court at the initial point of time, the involvement of the present petitioner in the offence has been disclosed in his disclosure statement. It is pleaded by the learned Public Prosecutor

that the petitioner is involved in two other previous cases. Taking into consideration the gravity of the offence, role played by the petitioner and his previous antecedents and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the earlier bail application was dismissed by this court in Crl.M.P. No.2012 of 2026 dated 03.07.2026, this court does not find any material change of circumstances with the earlier bail application. Hence, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, at this stage. **Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.**

Pronounced by me in the open Court, on this the 14th day of July 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.