

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Thursday, the 16th day of July 2026

Crl.M.P. No.2361 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-004688-2026)

1.Senthamarai, aged about 46 years, W/o.Kiruba
2.Revathi, aged about 41 years, W/o.Senthil Kumar,
Both are Residing at; Bramanar Street, Oragadam,
Chengalpattu District. .. Petitioners/accused

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by Inspector of Police,
Thirukazhukunram Police Station
Cr. No.253 of 2026 .. Respondent/Complainant
U/s.126(2), 296(6), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 r/w Sec.4 of
TNPHW Act.

This petition for anticipatory bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.S.Kumaresan, the learned counsel is appearing for the petitioners/accused and of M/s.V.Krishnamoorthy, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following:

O R D E R

This petition is filed U/s.482 of B.N.S.S. by the petitioners/accused praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

2. The petitioners apprehend arrest at the hands of respondent police for the alleged offences U/s.126(2), 296(6), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 r/w Sec.4 of TNPHW Act in connection with the Cr. No.253 of 2026 of respondent Police Station.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and reply

received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that, the petitioners are innocent persons, they are no way connected with the said offence; moreover the co-ccused has been granted anticipatory bail by the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Thirukazhukunram in Crl.M.P. No.690 of 2026 dated 11.06.2026; moreover, the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon them and they are ready to offer substantial sureties before the court and praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, would submit that in continuation of previous enmity; on the occurrence day, the petitioner along with other accused waylaid the defacto complainant and her son-in-law at their agricultural land, abused them with filthy words, pushed the defacto complainant and assaulted them with wooden log, caused injuries and also threatened them with dire consequences and prays for the dismissal of the petition.

6. From the submissions, the occurrence has taken place on 02.06.2026. From the records, it is seen the injured person has been discharged from hospital and co-ccused has been granted anticipatory bail by the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Thirukazhukunram in Crl.M.P. No.690 of 2026 dated 11.06.2026. Except the offence U/s.118(1) of BNS, 2023 and Sec.4 of TNPHW Act, other offences are bailable in nature. The petitioners seemed to be the first offenders, no previous case is pending against them. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory to the petitioners.

7. In the result, this petition is allowed; The petitioners, in the event of arrest or on their surrender before the learned District Muncif cum Judicial Magistrate, Thirukazhukunram within 15 days, are ordered to be released on bail on thier execution of bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Thirukazhukunram , with further condition that,

1. The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression (LTI) in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce any one of identity proof before the learned Magistrate to ensure their identity.
2. The petitioners/accused are directed to report before the respondent police station daily at 10.30 A.M. for a period of 15 days;
3. The petitioners should comply with the conditions contemplated U/s.482 (2) (i), (ii) and (iii) B.N.S.S.;
4. The petitioners/accused shall not tamper with the evidences or hamper the investigation in any manner;
5. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision ***AIR 2006 S.C. 100 – P.K. Shaji vs State of Kerala.***

Pronounced by me in the open court, on this the 16th day of July 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

To
The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Thirukazhukunram,
The Inspector of Police, Thirukazhukunram Police Station.