

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Wednesday, the 15th day of July 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.2358 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-004686-2026)

1. Karthick *alias* Kozhi Karthick, S/o.Selvam,
Aged 31 years,
 2. Vigneshwaran *alias* Dio Vicky, S/o.Panner Selvam,
Aged 26 years,
- .. Petitioners/Accused.

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Tambaram Police Station,
Cr. No.149 of 2026
U/s.310(4), 132 of BNS, 2023.

.. Respondent/Complainant

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.G.Sankar, the learned counsel is appearing for the petitioners/accused and M/s.R.Thirumurugan, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s.483 of BNSS 2023, seeking to enlarge the petitioners on bail.

2. The petitioners/accused are facing charges for the alleged offences punishable U/s.310(4), 132 of BNS, 2023.

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the reply received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners/accused would submit that the petitioners are falsely implicated in this case; they were arrested and

remanded on 02.05.2026; this is the 4th bail application and the the earlier bail application was dismissed by this court in Crl.M.P. No.2139 of 2026 dated 01.07.2026; now the petitioners are under judicial custody for the past 75 days; the co-accused has been granted bail by this court in Crl.M.P. No.1981 of 2026 dated 17.06.2026 and yet another co-accused has been granted bail by the Hon'ble High Court, Madras in Crl.O.P. No.15328 of 2026 on 18.06.2026; moreover, the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon them and they are ready to offer substantial sureties before the Court, thereby praying for enlargement on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, would submit that the petitioners along with other accused have formed themselves into an unlawful assembly in a secluded place and prepared themselves to commit dacoity. On information, when the respondent police reached the spot, the accused persons have threatened the police officials thereby deterred them from discharging their government duties. Further, the 1st petitioners is involved in nine other previous cases, the 2nd petitioners is involved in six other previous cases and prays for the dismissal of the petition.

6. It is seen the occurrence has taken place on 02.05.2026 and the petitioners are under custody from 15.06.2026. Total period of custody exceeds 75 days. It is seen the co-accused have been granted bail by this court as well as by the Hon'ble High Court, Madras. Substantial portion of investigation ought to have been completed by this time. They have permanent residence within the limits of the respondent police station, therefore, there is no possibility of flee from justice. Taking into consideration the duration of custody, stage of investigation, the co-accused have been enlarged on bail and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners/accused.

7. In the result, this petition is allowed; The petitioners/accused are ordered to be released on bail on their execution of bond for Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tambaram, with further conditions that;

1. The sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression (LTI) in the surety bond and the sureties shall produce copy of any identity proof before the learned Magistrate to ensure their identity;
2. The petitioners/accused are directed to report before the respondent police station daily at 10.30 A.M. for a period of 30 days;
3. The petitioners/accused should not tamper with the evidence either during investigation or trial;
4. The petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;
5. If there is any breach of conditions, the Investigation Officer shall report to the learned Magistrate and the learned Magistrate shall take appropriate action as if the conditions have been imposed by the Magistrate himself/herself in accordance with the decision in ***AIR 2006 SC 100 - P.K. Shaji Vs State of Kerala.***

Pronounced by me in the open Court on this the 15th day of July 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tambaram.
The Inspector of Police, Tambaram Police Station
The Superintendent, Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai.