

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Thiru.T.Chandrasekaran, M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.

Date: Friday, the 10th day of July 2026.

Crl.M.P. No.2359 of 2026
(CNR No. TNCG01-004392-2026)

Mohan, aged 54 years, S/o.Chinnathambi,

.. Petitioner/Accused.

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
G.R.P. - Tambaram,
Cr. No.136 of 2026

.. Respondent/Complainant

U/s.75(1) of BNS, 2023 r/w. Sec.4 of the Tamil Nadu
Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002

This petition for bail coming on this day for hearing before me, M/s.R.Ramachandran, the learned counsel is appearing for the petitioner/accused and of M/s.V.Krishnamoorthy, the learned Public Prosecutor is appearing for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

ORDER

This petition is filed U/s.483 of BNSS 2023, praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

2. The petitioner/accused is facing charges for the alleged offences punishable U/s.75(1) of BNS, 2023 r/w. Sec.4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002

3. Notice given to the Public Prosecutor for the State and the reply received. Heard both sides; records perused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused would submit that the petitioner is falsely implicated in this case; he was arrested and remanded on 25.06.2026 and he is under judicial custody for the past 16 days; moreover, the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions to be imposed upon him and he is ready to offer substantial sureties before the Court, thereby praying for enlargement on bail.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor, on the other hand, strongly objected to grant bail to the petitioner stating that while the victim was travelling in a train, the petitioner - who is a co-passenger, fondled her and pressed her hip thereby sexually harassed her. He would further submitted that the investigation is not yet completed and prays for the dismissal of the petition.

6. It is alleged that while the victim was travelling in a train, the petitioner had sexually harassed her. The offence committed by the petitioner/accused is offence against women. It is submitted by the learned Public Prosecutor that if the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he will commit the same type of offences. Taking into consideration the gravity of the offence, nature of allegations levelled against the petitioner and his conduct, stage of investigation, the strong objection made by the learned Public Prosecutor and also considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, at this stage. **Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.**

Pronounced by me in the open Court on this the 10th day of July 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.